Research Article **Risk Evaluation of Azithromycin-Induced QT Prolongation in Real-World Practice**

Young Choi^{1,2} Hong-Seok Lim,³ Dahee Chung,¹ Jung-gu Choi,¹ and Dukyong Yoon^{1,2}

¹Department of Biomedical Informatics, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea ²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Ajou University Graduate School of Medicine, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea ³Department of Cardiology, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Dukyong Yoon; d.yoon.ajou@gmail.com

Received 14 June 2018; Revised 21 September 2018; Accepted 1 October 2018; Published 14 October 2018

Academic Editor: Robin Vos

Copyright © 2018 Young Choi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Azithromycin exposure has been reported to increase the risk of QT prolongation and cardiovascular death. However, findings on the association between azithromycin and cardiovascular death are controversial, and azithromycin is still used in actual practice. Additionally, quantitative assessments of risk have not been performed, including the risk of QT prolongation when patients are exposed to azithromycin in a real-world clinical setting. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to evaluate the risk of exposure to azithromycin on QT prolongation in a real-world clinical setting using a 21-year medical history database of a tertiary medical institution. *Methods.* We analyzed the electrocardiogram results and relevant electronic health records of 402,607 subjects in a tertiary teaching hospital in Korea from 1996 to 2015. To evaluate the risk of QT prolongation of azithromycin, we conducted a case-control analysis using amoxicillin for comparison. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to correct for age, sex, accompanying drugs, and disease. *Results.* The odds ratio (OR) for QT prolongation (QTc>450 ms in male and >460 ms in female) on azithromycin exposure was 1.40 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.23-1.59), and the OR for severe QT prolongation (QTc>500 ms) was 1.43 (95% CI, 1.13-1.82). On the other hand, the ORs on exposure to amoxicillin were 1.06 (95% CI, 0.97-1.15) and 0.88 (95% CI, 0.70-1.09). In a subgroup analysis, the risk of QT prolongation in patients aged between 60 and 80 years was significantly higher when they are exposed to azithromycin. *Conclusions.* The risk of QT prolongation was increased when patients, particularly the elderly aged 60-79 years, were exposed to azithromycin. Therefore, clinician should pay exercise caution using azithromycin or consider using other antibiotics, such as amoxicillin, instead of azithromycin.

1. Introduction

Azithromycin is a macrolide antibiotic that is widely used to treat various infectious diseases such as respiratory and urinary tract infections. Several studies have reported the association between azithromycin and QT prolongation [1– 3]. Consequently, the US Food and Drug Administration has added a warning to the drug insert. As QT prolongation can lead to a life-threatening arrhythmia, known as torsade de pointes, the drugs that cause QT prolongation have strictly been controlled. In a previous study, torsade de pointes was reported in about 1% of patients with QT prolongation after exposure to azithromycin [4]. The risk factors for torsade de pointes are female sex, older age, heart disease, exposure to other QT interval prolonging drugs or metabolic inhibitors, hypokalemia, and bradycardia [5]. Associations between azithromycin and other serious arrhythmias (e.g., ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation, ventricular flutter, or cardiac arrest) have also been reported [6].

However, many conflicting results suggesting that azithromycin exposure does not concern cardiovascular events have been reported [7–13]. QT prolongation was primarily observed only in patients with a high baseline risk (e.g., preexisting cardiovascular conditions or concomitant use of other QT-prolonging drugs) [13]. In another study, no significant association was found between azithromycin and cardiovascular death in young and middle-aged adults [10]. Despite the conflicting results, azithromycin is still largely used in real-world clinical settings [14]. Although the risks of QT prolongation and cardiovascular events need to be considered, azithromycin is recommended because it is highly effective for infection control and has relatively few other side effects [1, 15, 16].

Most of the existing studies were conducted in a small dataset or were meta-analyses reanalyzing the results from other studies. Although associations have been suggested, quantitative assessments of risk, including the risk of QT prolongation, when patients are exposed to azithromycin have not been performed in a real-world clinical setting.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the risk and risk factors of QT prolongation after exposure to azithromycin using a 21-year medical record database and electrocardiogram (ECG) test results from a tertiary teaching hospital. First, we assessed the odds ratio (OR) of azithromycin use in patients who experienced QT prolongation in a real-world clinical setting. Second, subgroup analysis was performed to determine risk factors causing QT prolongation on azithromycin exposure.

2. Methods

This retrospective study was conducted based on electronic health records (EHRs). The Institutional Review Board of Ajou University Hospital approved (IRB No. AJIRB-MED-MDB-18-101) and waived the requirement for informed consent.

2.1. Data Resources. We used the EHR database of Ajou University Hospital, a tertiary teaching hospital in Korea, between January 1996 and May 2015. The database included 139,414,316 prescriptions, 290,926,544 laboratory test results, and 2,621,773 patient demographics.

QTc values from the same observational period were extracted from the local ECG repository in the MUSE[™] system. The ECG report typically contains both alphanumeric values and waveform graphs. The QTc data were extracted by parsing alphanumeric data from the PDF data extracted from the ECG repository by web-scraping technique [17].

2.2. Study Design and Population. We designed a case-control study to compare variations in use of medications, comorbidities, and laboratory test results among patients who had QT prolongation to those who did not (Figure 1). QT prolongation was defined as QTc (calculated using the Bazett formula) greater than 450 ms for males and 460 ms for females [18]. Severe QT prolongation was defined as QTc>500 ms in both males and females.

With regard to the selection of study participants, we identified 816,421 subjects who underwent ECG from January 1996 to May 2015. We excluded those with the following that rendered ECG or EHR information unclear: (1) duplicated dates of ECG measurement (n=94); (2) two or more consecutive ECG measurements within a day because of the possibility of obtaining an abnormal value several times to fit the normal or the possibility of reversing the lead for other clinical reasons (n=132,102); (3) unclear age (n=358); and (4) zero QT value (n=17). Of the 683,850 subjects, we identified 83,901 QT prolongation cases and 599,948 controls. Additionally, we excluded those without laboratory test data such as serum potassium and calcium levels within

1 year before the ECG examination date. Overall, 62,007 QT prolongation cases and 340,600 controls were enrolled (comparison 1). To evaluate the association with severe QT prolongation, 9,353 cases and 393,254 controls were enrolled (comparison 2).

2.3. Definition of Covariates. We assessed known or potential factors for the risk of QT prolongation. Demographic factors included sex and age at the ECG examination date. Comorbidities were included by reviewing medical records a year before the ECG measurement date based on the International Classification Disease-10. These comorbidities were myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, renal disease, AIDS/HIV, alcohol abuse, drug abuse, liver disease, and severe liver disease. For laboratory data, we included the latest serum potassium and calcium levels within a year before the ECG measurement date. Based on previous studies, we included the use of medications known to affect QT prolongation. We identified whether there was any exposure of the medications within 7 days before the ECG measurement date. The types of medications and comorbidities are shown in Tables S1 and S2.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. We calculated the distribution of the patient characteristics, laboratory test results, comorbidity, and medication use for the QT prolongation cases and controls from 1995 to 2015. Pearson's chi-square test and t-test were conducted to compare between the QT prolongation cases and controls. We performed logistic regression to evaluate the relationships between azithromycin and QT prolongation. A *p* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data management was performed using Microsoft SQL Server (Microsoft Corp), and all statistical analyses were conducted using the SAS software package (ver. 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Patient Characteristics. Tables 1 and S3 show baseline characteristics of the QT prolongation and control groups. The total number of case-control subjects was 402,607. Of these subjects, 62,007 (15.4%) and 340,600 (84.60%) belonged to the QT prolongation and control groups, respectively. The proportion of patients using azithromycin within 7 days before the ECG measurement date in the QT prolongation group was higher than that in the control group (0.65% vs. 0.27%), whereas the proportion of subjects using amoxicillin in the QT prolongation group (1.18%) was lower than that in the control group (1.39%). The proportion of QT prolongation was higher for subjects who were male and older and for those with lower potassium and calcium levels. In the analysis of severe QT prolongation, the same trend was observed, but the proportion of QT prolongation was higher in females (Table S4).

3.2. Comparison of QT Prolongation Risk between Exposure to Azithromycin and Amoxicillin. Table 2 shows the results of multiple regression analysis, which assessed QTc



FIGURE 1: Overview of the study process. Among the 816,421 ECG results, 402,607 ECGs which have no duplicated or repeated measurements, no outlier, and potassium (K⁺) and calcium (Ca⁺) results within one-year before ECG measurement were enrolled and divided into QT prolongation case (n=62,007) vs. control (n=340,600) or severe QT prolongation case (n=9,353) vs. control (n=393,254).

prolongation associated with the use of azithromycin and amoxicillin. After adjusting for the potential or known risk factors for QT prolongation, the use of azithromycin was more likely to be associated with QT prolongation (OR [95% CI], 1.40 [1.23-1.59]), but the use of amoxicillin was not associated with QT prolongation (OR [95% CI], 1.06 [0.97-1.15]). The OR of azithromycin for severe QT prolongation was 1.43 (95% CI, 1.13-1.82).

3.3. Other Risk Factors Associated with QT Prolongation. Higher odds for QT prolongation were associated with being male and older and having cardiovascular diseases, such as myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, ischemic stroke, and hemorrhagic stroke (Table 2). QT prolongation was associated with taking medications within 7 days before the ECG measurement date. These medications included antiarrhythmic drugs, fluoroquinolone antibiotics, macrolide antibiotics, antihistamines, anesthetic/sedative, bronchodilators (beta-agonists), gastrointestinal promotility, gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists and antagonists, antipsychotics, and vasodilator drugs. By contrast, females showed higher OR for severe QT prolongation (Table 2).

3.4. Risk Factors for QT Prolongation on Azithromycin Exposure. Figure 2 shows the subgroup analysis for association between azithromycin and QT prolongation according to sex, age, and cardiovascular disease. Azithromycin use among males was more likely to be associated with QT prolongation TABLE 1: Baseline characteristics of the subjects.

		QT prolongation		Sev	ere QT prolongation	l
	Case	Control	p-value	Case	Control	p-value
Total	62007 (15.4)	340600 (84.6)		9353 (2.3)	393254 (97.7)	
Sex			<.0001			<.0001
Men, n (%)	33112 (53.4)	174630 (51.3)		4519 (48.3)	203223 (51.7)	
Women, n (%)	28895 (46.6)	165970 (48.7)		4834 (51.7)	190031 (48.3)	
Age, Mean (SD)	58.0 (18.3)	47.9 (19.7)	<.0001	61.2 (17.5)	49.2 (19.8)	<.0001
Age, n (%)			<.0001			<.0001
-29	4693 (7.6)	62653 (18.4)		513 (5.5)	66833 (17)	
30-39	4468 (7.2)	46430 (13.6)		536 (5.7)	50362 (12.8)	
40-49	8244 (13.3)	60832 (17.9)		1092 (11.7)	67984 (17.3)	
50-59	11593 (18.7)	63102 (18.5)		1522 (16.3)	73173 (18.6)	
60-69	14088 (22.7)	58161 (17.1)		2126 (22.7)	70123 (17.8)	
70-79	13966 (22.5)	39668 (11.6)		2482 (26.5)	51152 (13)	
80-	4955 (8)	9754 (2.9)		1082 (11.6)	13627 (3.5)	
Potassium (mEq/l), Mean (SD)	4.1 (0.6)	4.2 (0.5)	<.0001	4.0 (0.8)	4.2 (0.5)	<.0001
Calcium (mg/dl), Mean (SD)	8.8 (0.8)	9.1 (0.6)	<.0001	8.6 (1.0)	9.1 (0.6)	<.0001
Azithromycin, n (%)	402 (0.6)	903 (0.3)	<.0001	402 (4.3)	903 (0.2)	<.0001
Amoxicillin, n (%)	733 (1.2)	4751 (1.4)	<.0001	733 (7.8)	4751 (1.2)	<.0001

(OR [95% CI], 1.63 [1.37-1.93]). With regard to age group, azithromycin use in patients aged 60-69 and 70-79 years was significantly associated with a higher risk of QT prolongation (OR [95% CI], 1.66 [1.26-2.19] and 1.40 [1.09-1.81], respectively). Results for severe QT prolongation also showed a similar pattern. The OR for severe QT prolongation induced by azithromycin was higher in males and 60-69-year-old patients.

4. Discussion

The study findings revealed that the risk of QT prolongation with regard to azithromycin exposure was higher in males and the elderly group (ages 60-79 years).

Our findings were consistent with those of previous studies. The relationship between azithromycin and QT prolongation was statistically significant [1, 2]. However, some recently published studies have shown conflicting results with previous findings and suggested that risk is not high in all patients, but only in elderly patients or patients with cardiovascular disease [10, 13]. This trend was also observed in our study. Figure 2 shows that increasing age increased QT risk with azithromycin exposure, and the risk of QT prolongation was relatively higher in patients with ischemic stroke. In other words, our findings are not only consistent with that of a study that suggested the relationship between azithromycin and QT prolongation, but also explain the contradictory results between recent studies and earlier ones.

We also obtained results that are consistent with previous reports on amoxicillin. Amoxicillin has been used for comparison with azithromycin in many studies because it is known to have similar indications to QT prolongation [19]. Previous studies reported that amoxicillin is not associated with QT prolongation or cardiovascular death, and the OR for amoxicillin in this study was 1.06 (95% CI, 0.97-1.15), which supported the lack of association with QT prolongation.

Other covariates known to be associated with QT prolongation mostly showed significant relationship (Table 2). For example, exposure to QT prolongation-prone drug (antiarrhythmics, antipsychotics, etc.), myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, liver disease, and renal disease were associated with increased risk of QT prolongation. Furthermore, lower serum levels of potassium and calcium were associated with increased risk of QT prolongation, with odds ratios of 0.68 (0.67-0.69) and 0.65 (0.64-0.65), respectively [20, 21].

The OR for QT prolongation after azithromycin exposure in the entire population was 1.4 (1.23–1.59). In a subgroup analysis, the risk was not increased by azithromycin (OR=0.98 [0.56-1.72]) at ages below 29 years. However, as the age increased, the risk increased gradually. The risk was statistically significant in patients aged 60-69 (OR [95% CI], 1.66 [1.26-2.19]) and 70-79 years (1.40 [1.09-1.81]). However, the risk tended to decrease again from the age of 70 years, and no statistical significance was found again after the age of 80 years.

In this study, the risk of QT prolongation in men was higher (Table 2). QT length in females is known to be relatively longer than that in males [22]. Therefore, according to the definition of the 2009 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Foundation/Heart Rhythm Society recommendations [18], we defined QT prolongation as QTc>450 ms for males and QTc>460 for females. In other words, the difference in QT length according to sex was already adjusted in the definition of QT prolongation. Another study, which analyzed a general population aged 55 years and older, also classified QT prolongation differentially over sex (QTc>450 for males and QTc>470 for females) [23].

VariablesOR95% CIp-valueOR95% CIp-valueAzithromycin1.395(1.227-1.586)<.00011.433(1.127-1.822)0.003Amoxicillin1.056(0.971-1.147)0.2040.875(0.703-1.089)0.231Male (ref. female)1.108(1.088-1.129)<.00010.854(0.817-0.892)<.0001Age (Mean/SD)1.023(1.022-1.023)<.00010.537(0.515-0.559)<.0001Potassium (mEq/l)0.679(0.666-0.691)<.00010.541(0.525-0.557)<.0001Calcium (mg/dl)0.645(0.636-0.654)<.00010.532(4.807-5.900)<.0001Antiarrhythmic drugs3.341(3.111-3.589)<.00015.326(4.807-5.900)<.0001Antiaringinal drugs1.331(0.458-3.867)0.599<.0210.712Anticholinergic1.132(0.935-1.370)0.2020.919(0.585-1.441)0.712Antifungals1.060(0.933-1.251)0.3000.812(0.550-1.198)0.294Antifungals1.073(0.916-1.258)0.3841.126(0.816-1.552)0.471Fluoroquinolone antibiotics1.198(1.440-2.385)0.912<.0001Antifurgals1.048(0.460-2.385)0.912<.0001Antihistamines1.483(1.304-1.687)<.00011.169(0.373-1.761)<.0001Antihistamines1.483(1.024-1.687)<.00011.169(0.298-0.557)
Azithromycin1.395(1.227-1.586)<.0001
Amoxicillin1.056(0.971-1.147)0.2040.875(0.703-1.089)0.231Male (ref. female)1.108(1.088-1.129)<.0001
Male (ref. female)1.108(1.088-1.129)<.00010.854(0.817-0.892)<.0001Age (Mean/SD)1.023(1.022-1.023)<.0001
Age (Mean/SD)1.023(1.022-1.023)<.0011.028(1.026-1.029)<.0001Potassium (mEq/l)0.679(0.666-0.691)<.0001
Potassium (mEq/l)0.679(0.666-0.691)<.0010.537(0.515-0.559)<.001Calcium (mg/dl)0.645(0.636-0.654)<.0001
Calcium (mg/dl)0.645(0.636-0.654)<.00010.541(0.525-0.557)<.0001Antiarhythmic drugs3.341(3.111-3.589)<.0001
Antiarrhythmic drugs3.341(3.111-3.589)<.00015.326(4.807-5.900)<.0001Antianginal drugs1.331(0.458-3.867)0.599
Antianginal drugs1.331(0.458-3.867)0.599Anticholinergic1.132(0.935-1.370)0.2020.919(0.585-1.441)0.712Antimalarials1.080(0.933-1.251)0.3000.812(0.550-1.198)0.294Antifungals1.073(0.916-1.258)0.3841.126(0.816-1.552)0.471Fluoroquinolone antibiotics1.198(1.144-1.255)<.0001
Anticholinergic1.132(0.935-1.370)0.2020.919(0.585-1.441)0.712Antimalarials1.080(0.933-1.251)0.3000.812(0.550-1.198)0.294Antifungals1.073(0.916-1.258)0.3841.126(0.816-1.552)0.471Fluoroquinolone antibiotics1.198(1.144-1.255)<.0001
Antimalarials1.080(0.933-1.251)0.3000.812(0.550-1.198)0.294Antifungals1.073(0.916-1.258)0.3841.126(0.816-1.552)0.471Fluoroquinolone antibiotics1.198(1.144-1.255)<.0001
Antifungals1.073(0.916-1.258)0.3841.126(0.816-1.552)0.471Fluoroquinolone antibiotics1.198(1.144-1.255)<.0001
Fluoroquinolone antibiotics1.198(1.144-1.255)<.00011.195(1.083-1.318)0.000HIV antiretrovirals1.048(0.460-2.385)0.912<
HIV antiretrovirals1.048(0.460-2.385)0.912Macrolide antibiotics1.599(1.507-1.696)<.0001
Macrolide antibiotics1.599(1.507-1.696)<.00011.566(1.393-1.761)<.0001Antihistamines1.483(1.304-1.687)<.0001
Antihistamines1.483(1.304-1.687)<.00011.193(0.901-1.580)0.219Antineoplastic drugs0.580(0.527-0.638)<.0001
Antineoplastic drugs0.580(0.527-0.638)<.00010.407(0.298-0.557)<.0001Anesthetic/sedative1.151(1.093-1.212)<.0001
Anesthetic/sedative1.151(1.093-1.212)<.00011.169(1.039-1.314)0.009Opioids1.124(0.768-1.645)0.5491.016(0.373-2.764)0.976Bronchodilators (beta-agonists)1.346(1.229-1.473)<.0001
Opioids1.124(0.768-1.645)0.5491.016(0.373-2.764)0.976Bronchodilators (beta-agonists)1.346(1.229-1.473)<.0001
Bronchodilators (beta-agonists) 1.346 (1.229-1.473) <.0001 1.093 (0.887-1.347) 0.404
Antidiarrheals 1.091 (0.734-1.623) 0.666 1.035 (0.369-2.901) 0.948
Antiemetics 0.963 (0.870-1.066) 0.468 0.881 (0.696-1.115) 0.291
Gastrointestinal promotility 1.777 (1.729-1.827) <.0001 1.583 (1.492-1.681) <.0001
GnRH 1.581 (1.067-2.343) 0.023 0.612 (0.150-2.496) 0.493
Neurologic drugs 1.110 (0.895-1.376) 0.343 1.268 (0.865-1.861) 0.224
Antipsychotics 1.325 (1.259-1.395) <.0001 1.150 (1.028-1.286) 0.014
Tricyclic and tetracyclic antidepressants 0.978 (0.898-1.065) 0.612 0.870 (0.699-1.083) 0.213
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors 1.119 (1.005-1.246) 0.041 1.117 (0.882-1.415) 0.358
Vasodilator drugs 1.399 (1.281-1.528) <.0001 1.379 (1.157-1.643) 0.000
Myocardial infarction 1.306 (1.242-1.374) <.0001 1.387 (1.257-1.530) <.0001
Congestive heart failure 2.526 (2.403-2.654) <.0001 3.547 (3.279-3.837) <.0001
Ischemic stroke 1.429 (1.368-1.493) <.0001 1.394 (1.274-1.525) <.0001
Hemorrhagic stroke 2.276 (2.123-2.441) <.0001 3.143 (2.797-3.531) <.0001
Diabetes mellitus 1.167 (1.133-1.201) <.0001 1.016 (0.950-1.086) 0.643
Hypothyroidism 0.929 (0.842-1.025) 0.140 1.033 (0.834-1.280) 0.767
Renal disease 3.119 (2.993-3.250) <.0001 3.320 (3.077-3.582) <.0001
AIDS/HIV 1.434 (0.893-2.301) 0.135 1.112 (0.273-4.535) 0.882
Alcohol abuse 2.108 (1.938-2.293) <.0001 1.912 (1.645-2.221) <.0001
Drug abuse 3.009 (2.538-3.567) <.0001 4.356 (3.275-5.794) <.0001
Liver disease 2.301 (2.166-2.444) <.0001 2.349 (2.097-2.631) <.0001
Severe liver disease 2.233 (1.991-2.505) <.0001 1.975 (1.651-2.362) <.0001
Year of QTc diagnosis (ref. year 1995–2000)
2001–2005 0.965 (0.910-1.023) 0.229 1.043 (0.922-1.179) 0.507
2006–2010 1.466 (1.384-1.552) <.0001 1.027 (0.910-1.161) 0.662
2011–2015 1.812 (1.712-1.918) <.0001 1.149 (1.018-1.297) 0.025

 TABLE 2: Results of multiple logistic regression.

GnRH: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists and antagonists; AIDS: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; SD: standard deviation; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; QTc:



FIGURE 2: Subgroup analysis for evaluation of risk factors for QT prolongation when azithromycin is prescribed. Odds ratio was increased in elderly (60-79 years) and male patients in both QT prolongation and severe QT prolongation.

In the study, the proportion of males was higher (57.6% vs. 42.4%) in the QT prolongation group and lower in the normal QT group (35.1% vs. 64.9%), as our results demonstrated. However, the definition of severe QT prolongation was used, and the OR in females was higher. Notably, the OR for severe QT prolongation was higher in females (Table 2), but in subgroup analysis, male sex seemed to be more affected by azithromycin exposure (Figure 2).

This study can be used to estimate the minimal incidence of QT prolongation events. QT prolongation was observed in 402 out of 1,305 individuals who were prescribed azithromycin and underwent ECG within 7 days (Table S3). At least 30.8% of patients had experienced QT prolongation. However, in patients exposed to azithromycin, it is not possible to confirm that the proportion of QT prolongation was higher in those who did not undergo ECG. Moreover, patients with normal ECG findings may have had QT prolongation at another time, because only one ECG result was included in the analysis.

Therefore, it might be helpful to conduct ECG monitoring during azithromycin use, especially in elderly patients, to prevent QT prolongation-related critical events. Nowadays, there are many trials to monitor ECG in patients' daily life [24, 25]. This approach could be useful for people who need regular ECG monitoring.

The significance of this study's findings lies in the quantitative data obtained on assessing the relationship between azithromycin and QT prolongation using large-scale ECG findings. Furthermore, using linked EHR data, we could include and adjust diverse covariates that could have an effect on QT interval such as diseases, drug exposure, and metabolic abnormalities (potassium and calcium). Currently, we have collected all ECG waveforms measured in the intensive care unit [26]. In contrast to ECGs conducted in a general ward, we could gather ECGs of all periods of hospitalization in an intensive care unit. We plan to conduct the same analysis but based on a cohort study design using ECGs gathered from intensive care unit monitoring devices in our future study. However, using those data for analyzing QT interval requires an accurate tool to measure QT interval in real time.

This study has some limitations. First, the ECG measurement itself might not be a general clinical situation. In this study, the reason for ECG measurement was not investigated. Therefore, our finding might not represent the whole population and the results may be biased. This factor should be considered when interpreting the results of this study. Second, ECG measurements were obtained using different machines with different versions of algorithms over 20 years. The hospital used mac 1200, mac 5500, and mac 5500 HD ECG, which were produced by GE Healthcare. The algorithm versions in each machine were different (12SL versions 7, 13, 22). However, all ECGs were obtained using GE Healthcare ECG machines. Because all of them were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, the quality of the algorithms might have been controlled well. Third, this study was conducted based on a case-control design. Because the ECG results were not obtained constantly in a general ward and only provided information at the time when ECGs were conducted, a cross-sectional approach was performed.

5. Conclusion

QT prolongation risk was increased by azithromycin in real-world clinical practice. The clinical implication of our study is that we quantitatively evaluated the risk of QT prolongation induced by azithromycin using EHR data of a tertiary teaching hospital. In particular, higher risk was observed in the elderly group (aged 60 to 80 years). Therefore, caution should be exercised when using azithromycin for elderly patients, or amoxicillin may be a better treatment alternative for them.

Data Availability

The datasets analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to legal restrictions imposed by the government of South Korea in relation to the Personal Information Protection Act but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant numbers: HI16C0982 and HI17C0970).

Supplementary Materials

Table S1: known or potential medications affected to QT prolongation. Table S2: comorbidities (ICD- 10 code) used in this study. Table S3: detailed baseline characteristics of the subjects in comparison 1 (QT prolongation). Table S4: detailed baseline characteristics of the subjects in comparison 2 (Severe QT prolongation). (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- A. D. Mosholder, J. Mathew, J. J. Alexander, H. Smith, and S. Nambiar, "Cardiovascular risks with azithromycin and other antibacterial drugs," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 368, no. 18, pp. 1665–1668, 2013.
- [2] T. Yu and T. Niu, "Giant inverted T waves and substantial QT interval prolongation induced by azithromycin in an elderly woman with renal insufficiency," *Canadian Family Physician*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 1012–1015, 2014.
- [3] H. Ohara, Y. Nakamura, Y. Watanabe et al., "Azithromycin can prolong QT interval and suppress ventricular contraction, but will not induce torsade de pointes," *Cardiovascular Toxicology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 232–240, 2014.
- [4] S. P. Sears, T. W. Getz, C. O. Austin, W. C. Palmer, E. A. Boyd, and F. F. Stancampiano, "Incidence of Sustained Ventricular

Tachycardia in Patients with Prolonged QTc After the Administration of Azithromycin: A Retrospective Study," *Drugs - Real World Outcomes*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 99–105, 2016.

- [5] J. C. Hancox, M. Hasnain, W. V. Vieweg, E. L. Crouse, and A. Baranchuk, "Azithromycin, cardiovascular risks, QTc interval prolongation, torsade de pointes, and regulatory issues: a narrative review based on the study of case reports," *Therapeutic Advances in Infectious Disease*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 155–165, 2013.
- [6] G. A. Rao, J. R. Mann, A. Shoaibi et al., "Azithromycin and Levofloxacin Use and Increased Risk of Cardiac Arrhythmia and Death," *Annals of Family Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 121– 127, 2014.
- [7] Z. S. Almalki and J. J. Guo, "Cardiovascular events and safety outcomes associated with azithromycin therapy: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials," *American Health and Drug Benefits*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 318–326, 2014.
- [8] E. Gorelik, R. Masarwa, A. Perlman, V. Rotshild, M. Muszkat, and I. Matok, "Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Network Meta-analysis of the Cardiovascular Safety of Macrolides," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 62, no. 6, 2018.
- [9] C. M. Khosropour, J. D. Capizzi, S. D. Schafer, J. B. Kent, J. C. Dombrowski, and M. R. Golden, "Lack of association between azithromycin and death from cardiovascular causes," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 370, no. 20, pp. 1961-1962, 2014.
- [10] J. Loar, "Use of azithromycin and death from cardiovascular causes: Svanstrom H, Pasternak B, Hviid A. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1704-12.," *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 45, no. 2, p. 308, 2013.
- [11] L. H. Goldstein, A. Gabin, A. Fawaz et al., "Azithromycin is not associated with QT prolongation in hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia," *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1042–1048, 2015.
- [12] P. A. Howard, "Azithromycin-induced proarrhythmia and cardiovascular death," *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 1547–1551, 2013.
- [13] N. M. Maisch, J. G. Kochupurackal, and J. Sin, "Azithromycin and the risk of cardiovascular complications," *Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 496–500, 2014.
- [14] R. A. Lee, A. Guyton, D. Kunz, G. R. Cutter, and C. J. Hoesley, "Evaluation of baseline corrected QT interval and azithromycin prescriptions in an academic medical center," *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 15–20, 2016.
- [15] Z. K. Lu, J. Yuan, M. Li et al., "Cardiac risks associated with antibiotics: azithromycin and levofloxacin," *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 295–303, 2015.
- [16] H. Kertland, "Should Azithromycin No Longer Be Considered a Drug of Choice for Community-Acquired Pneumonia because of Its Potential to Cause Cardiovascular Death?" *Canadian Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 328-329, 2013.
- [17] D. Chung, J. Choi, J. Jang et al., "Construction of an Electrocardiogram Database Including 12 Lead Waveforms," *Health Informatics Journal*, vol. 24, no. 3, p. 242, 2018.
- [18] P. M. Rautaharju, B. Surawicz, and L. S. Gettes, "AHA/ACCF/ HRS Recommendations for the Standardization and Interpretation of the Electrocardiogram. Part IV: The ST Segment, T and U Waves, and the QT Interval A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 982–991, 2009.

- [19] W. A. Ray, K. T. Murray, K. Hall, P. G. Arbogast, and C. M. Stein, "Azithromycin and the risk of cardiovascular death," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 366, no. 20, pp. 1881–1890, 2012.
- [20] B. Trojak, K. Astruc, J.-M. Pinoit et al., "Hypokalemia is associated with lengthening of QT interval in psychiatric patients on admission," *Psychiatry Research*, vol. 169, no. 3, pp. 257–260, 2009.
- [21] E. Grandi, F. S. Pasqualini, C. Pes, C. Corsi, A. Zaza, and S. Severi, "Theoretical investigation of action potential duration dependence on extracellular Ca²⁺ in human cardiomyocytes," *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 332–342, 2009.
- [22] J. H. Burke, F. A. Ehlert, J. T. Kruse, M. A. Parker, J. J. Goldberger, and A. H. Kadish, "Gender-specific differences in the QT interval and the effect of autonomic tone and menstrual cycle in healthy adults," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 178–181, 1997.
- [23] S. M. Straus, J. A. Kors, M. L. de Bruin et al., "Prolonged QTc interval and risk of sudden cardiac death in a population of older adults," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 362–367, 2006.
- [24] M. Tang, J. Yao, Z. Chen, H. Huang, and C. Geng, "[Implementation of Wearable Terminal Used for Dynamic ECG Real-time Monitoring Based on Internet of Things]," *Zhongguo Yi Liao Qi Xie Za Zhi*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 161–165, 2018.
- [25] A. S. Steijlen, K. M. Jansen, A. Albayrak, D. O. Verschure, and D. F. Van Wijk, "A Novel 12-Lead Electrocardiographic System for Home Use: Development and Usability Testing," *JMIR MHealth* and UHealth, vol. 6, no. 7, Article ID e10126, 2018.
- [26] D. Yoon, S. Lee, T. Y. Kim, J. Ko, W. Y. Chung, and R. W. Park, "System for Collecting Biosignal Data from Multiple Patient Monitoring Systems," *Health Informatics Journal*, vol. 23, no. 4, p. 333, 2017.