RESEARCH

Open Access



Relationship between skeletal bone mineral density and subjective masticatory difficulty

Seok Woo Hong¹ and Jeong-Hyun Kang^{2*}

Abstract

Background: Masticatory ability is an essential factor for sustaining quality of life and social and systemic well-being, particularly in elderly. This study aimed to reveal the association between subjective masticatory difficulty and skeletal bone mineral density (BMD).

Methods: Data from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, which was conducted from 2008 to 2011 were analyzed. This study included 13,092 Koreans (5656 males, 7436 females) over 50 years of age. Masticatory difficulty was evaluated based on a self-reported questionnaire. Areal BMD of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine as well as lean body mass were determined using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Data about the sociodemographic characteristics, physical activity, number of teeth present, sum of decayed, missing, and filled permanent teeth (DMFT) index and Community Periodontal Index (CPI) were collected. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to analyze associations between subjective masticatory difficulty and BMD, adjusting for the confounding covariates.

Results: Significant differences were observed in the areal BMD of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine as well as lean body mass accordance with the presence of subjective masticatory difficulty in both males and females. The number of teeth, DMFT, and CPI score did not show significant differences based on the presence of self-reported satisfaction of chewing performance in both males and females. Results from multivariate logistic regression demonstrated that the subjective masticatory difficulty showed significant interactions with skeletal BMD and the associations between masticatory satisfaction and BMD of the total hip and femoral neck were more prominent in females compared to those in males.

Conclusions: The skeletal BMD, particularly areal BMD of the femoral neck was significantly associated with subjective masticatory difficulty in elderly, especially in elder females.

Keywords: Bone mineral density, Elderly, Mastication, Osteoporosis

Background

Masticatory ability is not only a determinant of oral health but also a reflection of the quality of life and social and systemic well-being, particularly in elderly [1, 2]. Chewing difficulty in the elderly causes nutritional

*Correspondence: irene85@snu.ac.kr

² Clinic of Oral Medicine and Orofacial Pain, Institute of Oral Health Science, Ajou University School of Medicine, 164, Worldcup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do 16499, Republic of Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article deficiencies and gastrointestinal disturbances which are associated with greater risk of frailty and mortality [3–8]. Recent studies have focused on the association among masticatory performance, systemic frailty, and diverse conditions related to the aging such as decreased resilience, cognitive impairment, depression, cardiovascular disease, and sarcopenia [9–13].

Chewing performance is a complex process which involves intact dentition, integrated oral mucosa, sufficient salivary secretion, healthy temporomandibular joints, and coordination of sensory stimuli, motor nerve



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visithttp://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

activation, and proper response of muscle and other connective tissue structures [14–18]. Rehabilitation of masticatory function is one of the main goals of dental treatment, and several previous works have attempted to reveal not only the associated local factors such as tooth loss, periodontitis, decreased masticatory force, temporomandibular disorders, dry mouth, and abnormal occlusal relationships but also related systemic factors including sarcopenia and osteoporosis [9, 19].

Spontaneous bone loss is one of the main features of aging and can increase the risk of osteoporotic fracture and mortality [20, 21]. Several studies have investigated the link between oral health, status of dentition, and skeletal bone mineral density (BMD) [19, 22-27]. Osteoporotic bone changes may affect alveolar bone density and ridge resorption rate and this, in turn, could lead to tooth loss and impaired masticatory function [23, 28-32]. Moreover, poor oral condition could result in improper nutritional intake that could also lead to osteoporosis [33]. The fragmentary knowledge of the relationship between number of teeth, alveolar bone density, periodontal health condition, and skeletal BMD has been reported several times previously. However, to the best of our knowledge, a compressive and integrated understanding of the interaction of masticatory performance and osteoporosis has not elucidated, so far. Therefore, we hypothesis that masticatory dysfunction would have critical role in determining skeletal BMD in elderly.

Even though, several previous studies have attempted to determine the relationships between skeletal BMD and masticatory function, the relatively small sample sizes of those studies inevitably have limited the validity of the results. The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) conducted by the Korean center for Disease Control and Prevention which annually monitors the general health, oral condition, and nutritional status of the South Korean population includes a relatively large number of samples, therefore can provide valid and meaningful results. Hence, the aim of the present study was to investigate the relationships between self-reported masticatory difficulty and skeletal BMD after considering the possible confounders in a nationally representative sample of the Korean population.

Materials and methods

Study population

The present study was based on the data obtained from the 2008 to 2011 KNHANES, a nationally representative survey conducted by the Korean Center for Disease Control and Prevention. This study enrolled 13,092 Korean subjects (5656 males, 7436 females; mean age 64.1 ± 0.1 ; age range 50–80 years) over 50 years of age. To enroll a representative sample from the population, a stratified, multistage, and clustered probability approach was used. The survey consisted of a nutritional survey, individual interview, and health examination survey. Data were obtained via household interviews from direct standardized physical examinations. Trained interviewers performed the interviews using structured questionnaires. Written informed consents were provided from all participants. Only data from responders aged over 50-yearold were included.

Chewing discomfort and oral-health associated parameters

A trained interviewer interviewed the participants using a structured questionnaire. Subjective masticatory discomfort was assessed on a 5 points scale (very uncomfortable, uncomfortable, fair, comfortable, and very comfortable). For the analysis, masticatory difficulties were classified as follows: no problem (very comfortable, comfortable, fair) and problem (uncomfortable, very uncomfortable).

The oral examination was conducted by trained dentists. Periodontal health status was examined using the Community Periodontal Index (CPI) based on the criteria given by the World Health Organization [34]. The CPI score was as follows: 0, healthy gingiva; 1, gingival bleeding; 2, presence of calculus; 3, pocket depth of 3.5–5.5 mm; 4, pocket depth of 5.5 mm or more. The ten index teeth were #11, 16, 17, 26, 27, 31, 36, 37, 46, and 47. If no index tooth was present in a sextant qualifying for examination, the adjacent remaining tooth in that sextant was selected. The number of teeth present and the sum of decayed, missing, and filled permanent teeth (DMFT) index were also determined [35].

Anthropometric measurement

All participants put on uniformed light gown which provided by the survey staff without shoes and trained examiners assessed their weight (kg) and height (cm). The body mass index (BMI) was evaluated by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of the height (m) [36].

BMD measurement

Whole body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) was performed with a QDR Discovery fan beam densitometer (Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA), as per the procedures recommended by the manufacturer. The areal BMDs (aBMDs) of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine were measured with DEXA. The aBMD was calculated as the amount of bone mineral contents divided by the bone scanned area [37]. The DEXA results were analyzed using the standard techniques of the Korean Society of Osteoporosis and Hologic Discovery software (version 13.1; Hologic Inc., Bedford, MA, USA).

Sociodemographic factors and health-related behaviors

The data about sociodemographic factors and healthrelated behaviors including smoking, alcohol drinking, menopause and physical activities were assessed by self-administered questionnaires from KNHANES. Monthly household income was adjusted for the number of household members and classified into following four different quartiles. Education level was also classified into four groups based on the Korean education system: elementary school (less than 6 years of institutionalized education), middle school (7–9 years of institutionalized education), high school (10–13 years of institutionalized education), and over college education (more than 14 years of education).

Cigarette smoking was categorized into following three groups: nonsmoker, smokers who have smoked at least 5 packs in their entire lives, and smokers who currently smoke and have smoked more than 5 packs in their entire lives. Alcohol drinking was classified into following two groups; none or light drinker (0–3 day/month) and moderate to heavy drinker (>4 days/month).

In females, the information about whether menopause had occurred were collected. Physical activity level was measured using the Korean version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) short form [38]. The respondents were classified as performing high/ moderate intensity physical activity longer than 30 min and more than 5 times/week, respectively [38].

Metabolic syndrome

Metabolic syndrome was diagnosed if participants had at least three fulfilling criteria among the following five criteria as previous reports suggested [39, 40]: (1) a clinical diagnosis of diabetes treated with insulin/oral hypoglycemic medication or a fasting serum glucose level of more than 110 ml/dL; (2) current use of antihypertensive medication or arterial blood pressure of more than 130/85 mm Hg; (3) plasma triglyceride level of more than 150 mg/dL; (4) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level of less than 40 mg/dL for males or less than 50 mg/dL for females; or (5) a waist size greater than 90 cm for males or 80 cm for females.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was conducted using a complex design including stratification, clustering and weighting. Sample weights were constructed for sample participants to represent the Korean population by accounting for the complex survey design, survey nonresponse, and stratification (according to geographic area, age, and sex). All values were considered significant when P < 0.05.

All analysis was conducted separately for male and females owing to the different rate of background bone metabolism and muscle mass between the sexes. Subjective masticatory difficulty was an outcome variable and aBMDs of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine were the main explanatory variables. Rao-Scott chi-square and independent t test were used to compare the differences in the demographic factors, skeletal BMD, number of teeth, DMFT, CPI, and information about menopause and physical activity for categorical and continuous variables, respectively accordance with the presence of subjective masticatory difficulty. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the interactions between self-reported masticatory difficulty and skeletal aBMD adjusted for the potential confounders. Owing to high collinearity among aBMD of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine, separate analysis of aBMD of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine was conducted. Model 1 was unadjusted, while Model 2 was adjusted for age and BMI. Model 3 was adjusted for age, BMI, and general health status and behaviors including smoking, drinking, and presence of metabolic syndrome. Model 4 was adjusted for age, BMI, general health status and behaviors, physical activity, experience of menopause for females, and socioeconomic factors, including smoking, drinking, presence of metabolic syndrome, and levels of household income and education.

Results

The differences in sociodemographic factors including age, household income, educational level, and smoking status were statistically significant between participants with subjective masticatory difficulty and those without it in both males and females. On the other hand, presence of metabolic syndrome and alcohol consumption in males and BMI in females did not show significant differences. No significant differences of performing high/ moderate intensity physical activity were detected in two groups in both males and females. The number of female participants with menopause was significantly higher in females without subjective masticatory difficulty compared to those with it despite of higher BMD in females without masticatory dissatisfaction (Table 1).

The significant differences of aBMD and T score of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine in accordance with presence of subjective masticatory difficulty was detected in both males and females. The number of teeth, DMFT, and CPI score did not show significant differences in accordance with the existence of subjective masticatory difficulty in both males and females (Table 2).

Table 1 The demographic characteristics of the subjects according to masticatory difficulty

	Total N	Masticatory difficulty				
Variable		No		Yes		P value
		N	% (95% Cl)	N	% (95% CI)	
Male						
Aget (years) (Mean \pm SE)	5531	3062 (55.7%)	63.0 ± 0.2	2469 (44.3%)	64.4 ± 0.2	< 0.001**
BMI $+$ (kg/m ²) (Mean \pm SE)	5502	3048 (56.4%)	23.9 ± 0.7	2454 (43.6%)	23.3 ± 0.7	< 0.001**
Household income	5320					< 0.001**
<25%		744	24.7 (22.8–26.6)	855	35.3 (32.8–37.9)	
25–49%		773	26.5 (24.6–28.5)	621	27.0 (24.8–29.3)	
50-74%		651	21.9 (20.2–23.7)	474	19.6 (17.7–21.6)	
≥ 75%		778	26.9 (24.8–29.2)	424	18.1 (16.2–20.2)	
Education	5656					< 0.001**
≤ primary school		914	31.0 (28.8–33.3)	1041	42.9 (40.3–45.5)	
Middle school		586	19.6 (17.9–21.4)	500	20.4 (18.6–22.3)	
High school		838	28.6 (26.6–30.7)	557	24.2 (22.1–26.3)	
> College or higher		929	20.8 (18.8–23.1)	291	12.6 (10.8–14.5)	
Metabolic syndrome	2549				,	0.265
Yes		270	180 (157–204)	196	161(138–187)	
No		1162	82.0 (79.6–84.3)	921	83.9 (81.3-86.2)	
Smoking status	5368				,	< 0.001**
Never of former	5500	63	20(15-27)	177	75 (63-89)	(0.001
< 5 packs		2353	78.6 (76.7–80.3)	2215	92 4 (91 0-93 5)	
\geq 5 packs		554	191 (174-209)	3	0.1 (0-0.4)	
	5366	551	19.1 (17.1 20.9)	5	0.1 (0 0.1)	0.183
None or light	5500	256	91 (80–104)	177	75 (63-89)	0.105
Moderate or beavy		2718	90.7 (89.4–91.9)	2215	92 4 (91 0-93 5)	
High intensity physical activity	5471	2,10	50.7 (05.1 51.5)	2215	52.1 (51.6 55.5)	0.131
Yes	5171	522	187 (169-205)	378	166 (147–187)	0.151
No		2510	81 3 (79 5 83 1)	2061	83 / (81 3_85 9)	
Moderate intensity physical activity	5471	2510	01.5 (75.5 05.1)	2001	03.1(01.5 03.5)	0.802
	5471	307	124 (109-141)	307	121(107_138)	0.002
No		2641	876 (85 0 80 1)	2121	870 (86 2 80 3)	
Fomalo		2041	07.0 (03.9-09.1)	2131	07.9 (00.2-09.3)	
$A_{aa} = (v_{aa} r_{aa}) (M_{aa} r_{aa} + SE)$	7/36	1051 (51 106)	625+02	2285 (15 60%)	661 ± 0.2	~0.001**
$\frac{R}{M} = \frac{R}{M} $	7450	4037 (54.4%)	24.2 1 0.2	2269 (45.6%)	00.1 ± 0.2	0.001
	7405	4037 (34.4%)	24.2 ± 0.0	3308 (43.0%)	24.2 ± 0.1	< 0.001**
	7004	1102	200(270,220)	1457	157 (127 102)	< 0.001
< 2370 25 4004		000	29.9 (27.9-32.0)	916	43.7 (43.2-40.3)	
		700	23.3(23.7-27.3)	510	24.0 (22.9-20.0)	
> 7504		790	20.5 (10.7-21.9)	320	10.5 (14.0-10.5)	
<pre>273%</pre>	7142	099	24.4 (22.2–20.7)	407	15.0 (11.4–14.0)	< 0.001**
	/143	2226		2462		< 0.001 ***
		2250	20.0 (24.4-29.2)	2405	11.0 (10.5 - 12.5)	
		029 770	10.2 (14.8-17.7)	379	11.9 (10.5–13.5)	
		772	20.1(18.4-21.9)	322	9.4 (ö. i – i U.9)	
College of higher	E227	204	0.5) (2.5–0.5)	/δ	2.2 (1.7-3.0)	<0.001**
Wenopause	233/	1000	41 4 (20 1 42 0)	661		< 0.001**
Yes		1090	41.4 (39.1–43.8)	661	30.2 (27.8-32.7)	
	2265	1712	58.0 (56.2–60.9)	18/4	69.8 (67.3–72.2)	0.000*
ivietadolic syndrome	3305	210	150(1/0,404)	200	10 ((17 1 00 0)	0.029*
Yes		319	15.9 (14.0–18.1)	289	19.6 (17.1-22.3)	

Table 1 (continued)

Variable	Total N	Masticatory difficulty				
		No		Yes		P value
		N	% (95% CI)	N	% (95% CI)	
No		1549	84.1 (81.9–86.0)	1208	80.4 (77.7–82.9)	
Smoking status	7150					< 0.001**
Never of former		3625	92.6 (91.3–93.5)	2900	88.8 (87.0–91.1)	
\leq 5 packs		29	0.8 (0.4-1.0)	35	1.3 (0.7–1.8)	
\geq 5 packs		243	6.6 (54.4–7.6)	318	9.9 (8.5–11.3)	
Alcohol consumption	7157					< 0.001**
None or light		1295	33.1 (31.3–35.0)	1243	39.6 (37.2–42.0)	
Moderate or heavy		2609	66.6 (64.7–68.5)	2010	60.0 (57.6–62.4)	
High intensity physical activity						0.486
Yes	7347	446	11.6 (10.4–13.0)	378	11.0 (9.7–12.5)	
No		3556	88.4 (87.0–89.6)	2967	89.0 (87.5–90.3)	
Moderate intensity physical activity						0.853
Yes	7347	503	12.4 (11.2–13.8)	479	12.6 (11.2–14.1)	
No		3500	87.6 (86.2–88.8)	2865	87.4 (85.9–88.8)	
CL confidential interval: SE standard error						

%: Weighted percentage by column

Data obtained from Rao-Scott chi-square test

 $^{+}$ Data obtained from independent T-test and descriptive values are shown as mean \pm SE

* *P*<0.05, ** *P*<0.001 by Rao-Scott Chi-Square test or independent T-test

Results from multivariate logistic regression demonstrated that the interactions between skeletal BMD and subjective masticatory difficulty were more prominent in females compared to those in males. Significant associations between subjective masticatory difficulty and aBMD of the total hip, femoral neck, and lumbar spine were detected in only Model 1 in males. Otherwise, significant interactions with aBMD of the femoral neck and self-reported masticatory difficulty were observed in Model 1, 2, 3, and 4 in females. aBMD of the total hip also showed significant associations with subjective masticatory difficulty in Model 1, 2, and 3 and aBMD of the lumbar spine showed significant relationships in Model 1 and 2 in females (Table 3).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to reveal the associations between masticatory difficulty and skeletal BMD in elder populations using the KNHANES data in 2008–2011 with large number of samples from an authorized institution after adjusting for confounding covariates. The main finding from the present study was significant association between skeletal BMD and subjective masticatory function in the elder populations, particularly in females. Oral hypofunction in elderly could have impact on maintaining bone and muscle mass through diverse pathways including insufficient nutritional intake and inflammatory mechanisms [41–43]. The mechanical forces applied to the bone that originate from associated muscles are crucial to maintain skeletal health and bony integrity [44–46]. Therefore, oral hypofunction including masticatory dysfunction could have influence on decreased muscle and bone mass and deteriorated muscle mass and function also have impacts on maintaining bone mineral density through bone-muscle interactions.

Previous studies have shown conflicting results about the sex differences in the interactions between skeletal BMD and masticatory efficiency. One study demonstrated more prominent influences of osteoporosis on lower masticatory efficiency in females compared to those in males [19], otherwise another study showed significant relationships between masticatory dysfunction and osteoporosis, particularly in male elderly [24]. Both animal and human studies revealed that osteoporosis may affect alveolar bone loss and tooth loss, especially in post-menopausal females with increased bone turnover rates [28-32]. The mechanical disadvantages of subchondral bone in the mandibular condyles of females, including more fragile characteristics for static and dynamic loading compared to those in males also have been revealed [47]. Masticatory ability in post-menopausal females with relatively higher bone turnover rates, more fragile subchondral local bone structure, and lower skeletal and masticatory muscle mass compared to males

	Total N	Masticatory difficulty				
Variable		No		Yes		
		N	Mean ± SE or % (95% Cl)	N	Mean ± SE or % (95% Cl)	
Male						
Number of teeth	3242	1907 (58.2%)	12.8 ± 0.4	1355 (41.8%)	12.3 ± 0.5	0.382
DMFT	3234	1886 (58.2%)	5.93 ± 0.17	1348 (41.8%)	5.88±0.21	0.858
CPI	2340			(111070)		0.516
0		304	23.7 (19.2–28.9)	238	24.9 (19.5–31.1)	
1		60	4.9 (3.0-7.8)	41	5.5 (3.2–9.4)	
2		415	29.0 (24.5-34.0)	242	23.9 (19.4–29.1)	
3		477	35.6 (30.7-40.8)	370	38.2 (32.5–44.3)	
4		118	6.9 (4.6-10.1)	75	7.4 (3.7–14.5)	
Total hip aBMD (g/cm²)	2762	1570 (58.2%)	0.930 ± 0.004	1192 (41.8%)	0.910 ± 0.005	< 0.001**
Total hip T score	2762	1570 (58.2%)	-0.090 ± 0.028	1192 (41.8%)	-0.220 ± 0.035	< 0.001**
Femoral neck aBMD (g/cm ²)	2762	1570 (58.2%)	0.750 ± 0.004	1192 (41.8%)	0.730 ± 0.004	< 0.001**
Femoral neck T score	2762	1570 (58.2%)	-0.800 ± 0.030	1192 (41.8%)	-0.940 ± 0.035	< 0.001**
Lumbar spine aBMD (g/cm ²)	2690	1537 (58.3%)	0.940±0.004	1153 (41/7%)	0.930 ± 0.006	0.040*
Lumbar spine T score	2690	1537 (58.3%)	-0.680 ± 0.037	1153 (41.7%)	-0.790 ± 0.049	0.040*
Lean body mass (kg)	2698	1536 (58.2%)	50.6 ± 0.2	1162 (41.8%)	49.4±0.3	< 0.001**
Female						
Number of teeth	4373	2596 (60.0%)	12.5 ± 0.4	1777 (40.0%)	12.1 ± 0.5	0.449
DMFT	4351	2570 (59.7%)	5.82 ± 0.16	1781 (40.3%)	6.00 ± 0.21	0.436
CPI [†]	3043					0.053
0		408	21.7 (17.4–26.6)	333	24.3 (18.9–30.7)	
1		75	3.7 (2.4–5.7)	78	6.2 (3.9–9.5)	
2		548	30.2 (25.5–35.4)	286	24.7 (20.0-30.1)	
3		630	34.6 (29.4–40.2)	443	35.9 (30.2–42.0)	
4		151	9.8 (6.4–14.9)	91	8.9 (5.5–14.1)	
Total hip aBMD (g/cm ²)	3749	2126 (55.7%)	0.800 ± 0.003	1623 (44.3%)	0.750 ± 0.004	< 0.001**
Total hip T score	3749	2126 (55.7%)	-0.470 ± 0.029	1623 (44.3%)	-0.840 ± 0.039	< 0.001**
Femoral neck aBMD (g/cm ²)	3749	2126 (55.7%)	0.640 ± 0.003	1623 (44.3%)	0.600 ± 0.004	< 0.001**
Femoral neck T score	3749	2126	-1.48 ± 0.03	1623	-1.89 ± 0.04	< 0.001**
Lumbar spine aBMD (g/cm²)	3645	2075 (56.0%)	0.830 ± 0.004	1570 (44.0%)	0.780 ± 0.005	< 0.001**
Lumbar spine T score	3645	2075 (56.0%)	-1.56 ± 0.04	1570 (44.0%)	-1.93 ± 0.04	< 0.001**
Lean body mass (kg)	3679	2087 (55.7%)	37.0 ± 0.1	1592 (44,3%)	36.3 ± 0.2	< 0.001**

Table 2 Bone mineral density, number of teeth, DMFT, periodontal status, and hormonal levels according to masticatory difficulty

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; aBMD, areal bone mineral density; CI, confidential interval; CPI, community periodontal index; DMFT, decay, missing, filling tooth; PTH, parathyroid hormone; SE, standard error

%: Weighted percentage by column

Table 2 (continued)

Data obtained from independent T-test

Descriptive values are shown as mean \pm SE

 $^{\rm t}$ Data obtained from Rao-Scott Chi-square test and descriptive values are show as % (95% Cl)

* P<0.05, ** P<0.001 by independent T-test and Rao-Scott Chi-square test

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Male				
Total hip aBMD				
Odd ratio (95% CI)	2.781 (1.511–5.117)	1.177 (0.548–2.528)	1.186 (0.374-3.762)	0.419 (0.170-1.030)
$B \pm SE$	1.023±0.310	0.163 ± 0.389	0.171 ± 0.587	-0.871 ± 0.458
P value	< 0.001**	0.675	0.771	0.058
R square (Nagelkereke)	0.006	0.016	0.058	0.164
Femoral neck aBMD				
Odd ratio (95% CI)	3.259 (1.711–6.205)	1.410 (0.651-3.054)	1.024 (0.356–4.072)	0.554 (0.223–1.376)
$B \pm SE$	1.181 ± 0.327	0.344±0.393	0.186±0.619	-0.591 ± 0.463
<i>P</i> value	< 0.001**	0.382	0.764	0.202
R square (Nagelkereke)	0.007	0.016	0.058	0.163
Lumbar spine aBMD				
Odd ratio (95% CI)	1.727 (1.022–2.918)	1.189 (0.666–2.122)	1.096 (0.474–2.535)	0.651 (0.301-1.409)
$B \pm SE$	0.546 ± 0.267	0.173 ± 0.294	0.092 ± 0.426	-0.430 ± 0.393
<i>P</i> value	0.041*	0.557	0.829	0.275
R square (Nagelkereke)	0.002	0.013	0.057	0.159
Female				
Total hip aBMD				
Odd ratio (95% CI)	15.9 (8.1–31.4)	2.729 (1.083–6.877)	4.848 (1.084–21.686)	3.788 (0.810–17.717)
$B \pm SE$	2.767 ± 0.345	1.004 ± 0.470	1.579 ± 0.762	1.332 ± 0.784
P value	< 0.001**	0.033*	0.039*	0.090
R square (Nagelkereke)	0.038	0.069	0.085	0.134
Femoral neck aBMD				
Odd ratio (95% CI)	29.615(13.964–62.806)	4.808 (1.757–13.161)	9.477 (1.883–47.698)	6.644 (1.207–36.560)
$B \pm SE$	3.388 ± 0.382	1.570 ± 0.512	2.249 ± 0.822	1.727 ± 0.852
<i>P</i> value	< 0.001**	0.002*	0.007*	0.030*
R square (Nagelkereke)	0.047	0.071	0.089	0.137
Lumbar spine aBMD				
Odd ratio (95% CI)	7.313 (4.129–12.953)	2.462 (1.270-4.774)	1.923 (0.784–4.716)	0.999 (0.374–2.666)
B±SE	1.990 ± 0.291	0.901 ± 0.337	0.654 ± 0.456	-0.001 ± 0.027
<i>P</i> value	< 0.001**	0.008*	0.153	0.998
R square (Nagelkereke)	0.027	0.063	0.075	0.125

Model 1: Unadjusted

Model 2: Adjusted for age and BMI

Model 3: Adjusted for age, BMI, and general health status and behaviors including smoking, drinking, and metabolic syndrome

Model 4: Adjusted for age, BMI, general health status and behaviors, physical activity, experience of menopause for females, and socioeconomic factors, including smoking, drinking, and metabolic syndrome, levels of household income and education

* P<0.05, ** P<0.001 by multivariate logistic regression

[48] might be more sensitively influenced by the changes in the skeletal BMD. Hence, inadequate nutritional intake owing to masticatory dysfunction would lead to accelerated bone loss and osteoporosis and this changes would be more prominent with post-menopausal females with increased bone turnover rates.

The aforementioned results exhibited that there were strong significant relationships between aBMD of

the femoral neck and total hip and subjective masticatory difficulties. The aBMD of the lumbar spine would be influenced by several factors including posture and arthritic changes of the spine [49–51], so adopting aBMD of the total hip or femoral neck would be recommended for proper evaluation of skeletal BMD and diagnosis of osteoporosis. The lesser significance between aBMD of the lumbar spine and subjective masticatory difficulties could be owing to those factors.

The results from this study demonstrated a lack of significant differences in the number of remaining teeth, DMFT, and CPI score, accordance with the presence of subjective masticatory difficulty. Several previous studies reported the role of number of remaining and functional teeth and periodontal health on the maintenance of chewing ability, particularly in the elderly [52–57]. Chewing function can be evaluated by objective clinical tests or self-reported measures [58]. Generally, objective chewing efficiency which was different concept from subjective masticatory satisfaction seemed to be critically influenced by the number of remaining and functional teeth, status of prosthodontics, and conditions of periodontal health but subjective masticatory satisfaction showed conflicting results [9, 52, 59]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no universally adopted golden standard for determination of masticatory difficulty have been proposed. The perception of masticatory satisfaction could be affected by other factors besides oral health status, such as depression, resilience, ability of physical performances, and coordination of tongue activity [9, 59, 60] but subjective masticatory difficulty still has its own significant value in geriatric medicine and dentistry. Subjective chewing satisfaction plays an important role in elderly because not only objective chewing efficiency but also subjective masticatory satisfaction could have a role in the prediction of progression of frailty in elder population [7].

The present study has several limitations. First of all, owing to the retrospective cross-sectional study design, the causal relationships between osteoporosis and masticatory difficulties could not be derived. Secondly, lack of information about other oral health associated factors related to the chewing function, including salivary flow rate, occlusal relationships, masticatory force, and temporomandibular disorders by proper diagnostic criteria inevitably compromise the significance of the results from the study. Thirdly, due to the retrospective study design, precise information about participants, such as underlying diseases and medication history could not be provided.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the skeletal BMD, particularly aBMD of the femoral neck was significantly associated with subjective masticatory difficulty in elderly, especially in elder females. The importance of maintaining oral health in elder patients would be emphasized to prevent osteoporosis and this might lead to prevention of frailty in long terms. Understanding this interaction would be warranted for dentist and physicians for better management of frailty and for successful aging.

Abbreviations

BMD: Bone mineral density (BMD); CPI: Community Periodontal Index; DEXA: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; DMFT: Decayed, missing, and filled permanent teeth; KNHANES: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

SWH contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data, and draft the manuscript. J-HK contributed to the conception and design of the article, analysis of data, interpretation of data, and construction of manuscript. Both the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (No. 2020R111A1A01071537).

Availability of data materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the homepage of Korean Center for Disease Control and Prevention (http://knhanes.kdca.go.kr) with no restriction apply to the availability of these data.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

The ethical approval of this research protocol was obtained by the Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital (AJIRB-MED-EXP-21-142). All methods were carried in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations and written informed consents were provided from all participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing of interest

The authors certify that no conflicts of interests were involved in this paper.

Author details

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 29, Saemunan-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03181, Republic of Korea. ²Clinic of Oral Medicine and Orofacial Pain, Institute of Oral Health Science, Ajou University School of Medicine, 164, Worldcup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do 16499, Republic of Korea.

Received: 13 January 2022 Accepted: 13 April 2022 Published online: 21 April 2022

References

 Takata Y, Ansai T, Awano S, Fukuhara M, Sonoki K, Wakisaka M, Fujisawa K, Akifusa S, Takehara T. Chewing ability and quality of life in an 80-year-old population. J Oral Rehabil. 2006;33(5):330–4.

- Cho MJ, Kim EK. Subjective chewing ability and health-related quality of life among the elderly. Gerodontology. 2019;36(2):99–106.
- Laudisio A, Gemma A, Fontana DO, Rivera C, Bandinelli S, Ferrucci L, Incalzi RA. Self-reported masticatory dysfunction and mortality in community dwelling elderly adults: a 9-year follow-up. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016;64(12):2503–10.
- Tanaka T, Takahashi K, Hirano H, Kikutani T, Watanabe Y, Ohara Y, Furuya H, Tetsuo T, Akishita M, lijima K. Oral frailty as a risk factor for physical frailty and mortality in community-dwelling elderly. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2018;73(12):1661–7.
- Lee S, Sabbah W. Association between number of teeth, use of dentures and musculoskeletal frailty among older adults. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2018;18(4):592–8.
- Motokawa K, Mikami Y, Shirobe M, Edahiro A, Ohara Y, Iwasaki M, Watanabe Y, Kawai H, Kera T, Obuchi S, et al. Relationship between Chewing Ability and Nutritional Status in Japanese Older Adults: a cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(3):1216.
- Horibe Y, Ueda T, Watanabe Y, Motokawa K, Edahiro A, Hirano H, Shirobe M, Ogami K, Kawai H, Obuchi S, et al. A 2-year longitudinal study of the relationship between masticatory function and progression to frailty or pre-frailty among community-dwelling Japanese aged 65 and older. J Oral Rehabil. 2018;45(11):864–70.
- Horibe Y, Watanabe Y, Hirano H, Edahiro A, Ishizaki K, Ueda T, Sakurai K. Relationship between masticatory function and frailty in communitydwelling Japanese elderly. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2018;30(9):1093–9.
- Murakami M, Hirano H, Watanabe Y, Sakai K, Kim H, Katakura A. Relationship between chewing ability and sarcopenia in Japanese communitydwelling older adults. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2015;15(8):1007–12.
- Weijenberg RA, Lobbezoo F, Visscher CM, Scherder EJ. Oral mixing ability and cognition in elderly persons with dementia: a cross-sectional study. J Oral Rehabil. 2015;42(7):481–6.
- Kimura Y, Ogawa H, Yoshihara A, Yamaga T, Takiguchi T, Wada T, Sakamoto R, Ishimoto Y, Fukutomi E, Chen W, et al. Evaluation of chewing ability and its relationship with activities of daily living, depression, cognitive status and food intake in the community-dwelling elderly. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2013;13(3):718–25.
- Shin HS, Ahn YS, Lim DS. Association between chewing difficulty and symptoms of depression in adults: results from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016;64(12):e270–8.
- Kida M, Ono T, Kokubo Y, Yoshimuta Y, Kosaka T, Kikui M, Yamamoto MA, Ikebe K, Maeda Y, Nokubi T, et al. Decreased masticatory performance is related to increased intima-media thickness in a general urban Japanese population: The Suita study. J Prosthodont Res. 2020;64(3):346–53.
- Naka O, Anastassiadou V, Pissiotis A. Association between functional tooth units and chewing ability in older adults: a systematic review. Gerodontology. 2014;31(3):166–77.
- Ahn HJ, Lee YS, Jeong SH, Kang SM, Byun YS, Kim Bl. Objective and subjective assessment of masticatory function for patients with temporomandibular disorder in Korea. J Oral Rehabil. 2011;38(7):475–81.
- Fueki K, Yoshida-Kohno E, Inamochi Y, Wakabayashi N. The association between mucosal pain and subjective masticatory function in patients with partial removable dental prostheses. J Oral Rehabil. 2019;46(12):1095–9.
- 17. Dusek M, Simmons J, Buschang PH. al-Hashimi I: Masticatory function in patients with xerostomia. Gerodontology. 1996;13(1):3–8.
- Piancino MG, Isola G, Cannavale R, Cutroneo G, Vermiglio G, Bracco P, Anastasi GP. From periodontal mechanoreceptors to chewing motor control: a systematic review. Arch Oral Biol. 2017;78:109–21.
- Tamut T, Pooran C, Pratap SB, Arvind T, Jitendra R, Dayal SR. Effect of bone mineral density on masticatory performance and efficiency. Gerodontology. 2012;29(2):e83-87.
- van den Bergh JP, van Geel TA, Geusens PP. Osteoporosis, frailty and fracture: implications for case finding and therapy. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2012;8(3):163–72.
- Rolland Y, Abellan van Kan G, Benetos A, Blain H, Bonnefoy M, Chassagne P, Jeandel C, Laroche M, Nourhashemi F, Orcel P, et al. Frailty, osteoporosis and hip fracture: causes, consequences and therapeutic perspectives. J Nutr Health Aging. 2008;12(5):335–46.
- 22. Kim HJ, Kim YH, Cho KH, Han BD, Kim SM, Choi YS, Kim DH, Han KD, Lee YJ, Kim CM. Oral health behaviors and bone mineral density in South

Korea: the 2008–2010 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Bone Miner Metab. 2016;34(2):225–33.

- Singhal S, Chand P, Singh BP, Singh SV, Rao J, Shankar R, Kumar S. The effect of osteoporosis on residual ridge resorption and masticatory performance in denture wearers. Gerodontology. 2012;29(2):e1059–66.
- 24. Laudisio A, Marzetti E, Antonica L, Settanni S, Georgakakis I, Bernabei R, Franceschi C, Zuccala G. Masticatory dysfunction is associated with osteoporosis in older men. J Clin Periodontol. 2007;34(11):964–8.
- Kim CS, Kim EK, Lee KS, Lee HK, Choi YH, Hwang TY, Moon JS. Relationship between bone mineral density, its associated physiological factors, and tooth loss in postmenopausal Korean women. BMC Womens Health. 2015;15:65.
- Pan MY, Hsieh TC, Chen PH, Chen MY. Factors associated with tooth loss in postmenopausal women: a community-based cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019, 16(20).
- Jang KM, Cho KH, Lee SH, Han SB, Han KD, Kim YH. Tooth loss and bone mineral density in postmenopausal South Korean women: the 2008–2010 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Maturitas. 2015;82(4):360–4.
- Liu Z, Liu L, Kang C, Xie Q, Zhang B, Li Y. Effects of estrogen deficiency on microstructural changes in rat alveolar bone proper and periodontal ligament. Mol Med Rep. 2015;12(3):3508–14.
- Kribbs PJ, Smith DE, Chesnut CH 3rd. Oral findings in osteoporosis. Part II: Relationship between residual ridge and alveolar bone resorption and generalized skeletal osteopenia. J Prosthet Dent. 1983;50(5):719–24.
- Makker A, Singh MM, Mishra G, Singh BP, Jain GK, Jadhav S. Relationship between bone turnover biomarkers, mandibular bone mineral density, and systemic skeletal bone mineral density in premenopausal and postmenopausal Indian women. Menopause. 2012;19(6):642–9.
- Tezal M, Wactawski-Wende J, Grossi SG, Ho AW, Dunford R, Genco RJ. The relationship between bone mineral density and periodontitis in postmenopausal women. J Periodontol. 2000;71(9):1492–8.
- 32. Jeffcoat M. The association between osteoporosis and oral bone loss. J Periodontol. 2005;76(11 Suppl):2125–32.
- Okamoto N, Amano N, Nakamura T, Yanagi M. Relationship between tooth loss, low masticatory ability, and nutritional indices in the elderly: a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health. 2019;19(1):110.
- 34. World Health Organization: Oral health surveys: Basic methods, 5th edn. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
- 35. World Health Organization. Oral health surveys: basic methods. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997.
- Executive summary of the clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. Arch Intern Med. 1998, 158(17):1855–1867.
- Kendler DL, Borges JL, Fielding RA, Itabashi A, Krueger D, Mulligan K, Camargos BM, Sabowitz B, Wu CH, Yu EW, et al. The Official Positions of the International Society for Clinical Densitometry: Indications of Use and Reporting of DXA for Body Composition. J Clin Densitom. 2013;16(4):496–507.
- Chun MY. Validity and reliability of korean version of international physical activity questionnaire short form in the elderly. Korean J Fam Med. 2012;33(3):144–51.
- Moy FM, Bulgiba A. The modified NCEP ATP III criteria maybe better than the IDF criteria in diagnosing Metabolic Syndrome among Malays in Kuala Lumpur. BMC Public Health. 2010;10:678.
- 40. Zimmet PZ, Alberti KG, Shaw JE. Mainstreaming the metabolic syndrome: a definitive definition. Med J Aust. 2005;183(4):175–6.
- Nishi K, Kanouchi H, Tanaka A, Nakamura M, Hamada T, Mishima Y, Goto Y, Kume K, Beppu M, Hijioka H, et al. Relationship between oral hypofunction, and protein intake: a cross-sectional study in local community-dwelling adults. Nutrients. 2021;13(12):4377.
- 42. Nishi T, Ohta M, Takano T, Ogami K, Ueda T, Sakurai K. Oral function is associated with the body and muscle mass indices of middle-aged dental patients. Clin Exp Dent Res. 2022;8(1):217–24.
- Lee Y. Association between osteoporosis and periodontal disease among menopausal women: the 2013–2015 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. PLoS ONE. 2022;17(3):e0265631.
- 44. Bloomfield SA. Changes in musculoskeletal structure and function with prolonged bed rest. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1997;29(2):197–206.

- Lorentzon M, Mellstrom D, Ohlsson C. Association of amount of physical activity with cortical bone size and trabecular volumetric BMD in young adult men: the GOOD study. J Bone Miner Res. 2005;20(11):1936–43.
- Proctor DN, Melton LJ, Khosla S, Crowson CS, O'Connor MK, Riggs BL. Relative influence of physical activity, muscle mass and strength on bone density. Osteoporos Int. 2000;11(11):944–52.
- Kim DG, Haghighi A, Kwon HJ, Coogan JS, Nicolella DP, Johnson TB, Kim HD, Kim N, Agnew AM. Sex dependent mechanical properties of the human mandibular condyle. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2017;71:184–91.
- Weaver CM, Gordon CM, Janz KF, Kalkwarf HJ, Lappe JM, Lewis R, O'Karma M, Wallace TC, Zemel BS. The National Osteoporosis Foundation's position statement on peak bone mass development and lifestyle factors: a systematic review and implementation recommendations. Osteoporos Int. 2016;27(4):1281–386.
- Wang YX, Griffith JF, Ma HT, Kwok AW, Leung JC, Yeung DK, Ahuja AT, Leung PC. Relationship between gender, bone mineral density, and disc degeneration in the lumbar spine: a study in elderly subjects using an eight-level MRI-based disc degeneration grading system. Osteoporos Int. 2011;22(1):91–6.
- Wang YX, Kwok AW, Griffith JF, Leung JC, Ma HT, Ahuja AT, Leung PC. Relationship between hip bone mineral density and lumbar disc degeneration: a study in elderly subjects using an eight-level MRI-based disc degeneration grading system. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2011;33(4):916–20.
- Salo S, Leinonen V, Rikkonen T, Vainio P, Marttila J, Honkanen R, Tuppurainen M, Kroger H, Sirola J. Association between bone mineral density and lumbar disc degeneration. Maturitas. 2014;79(4):449–55.
- Barbe AG, Javadian S, Rott T, Scharfenberg I, Deutscher HCD, Noack MJ, Derman SHM. Objective masticatory efficiency and subjective quality of masticatory function among patients with periodontal disease. J Clin Periodontol. 2020;47(11):1344–53.
- Kosaka T, Ono T, Kida M, Fushida S, Nokubi T, Kokubo Y, Watanabe M, Higashiyama A, Miyamoto Y, Ikebe K. A prediction model of masticatory performance change in 50- to 70-year-old Japanese: the Suita study. J Dent. 2021;104:103535.
- Kosaka T, Ono T, Kida M, Kikui M, Yamamoto M, Yasui S, Nokubi T, Maeda Y, Kokubo Y, Watanabe M, et al. A multifactorial model of masticatory performance: the Suita study. J Oral Rehabil. 2016;43(5):340–7.
- 55. Kugimiya Y, Watanabe Y, Igarashi K, Hoshino D, Motokawa K, Edahiro A, Ueda T, Takano T, Sakurai K, Taniguchi Y, et al. Factors associated with masticatory performance in community-dwelling older adults: a crosssectional study. J Am Dent Assoc. 2020;151(2):118–26.
- Montero J, Leiva LA, Martin-Quintero I, Rosel E, Barrios-Rodriguez R. Determinants of masticatory performance assessed by mixing ability tests. J Prosthet Dent. 2021.
- 57. Wright FAC, Law GG, Milledge KL, Chu SK, Hsu B, Valdez E, Naganathan V, Hirani V, Blyth FM, LeCouteur DG, et al. Chewing function, general health and the dentition of older Australian men: the Concord Health and Ageing in Men Project. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2019;47(2):134–41.
- Boretti G, Bickel M, Geering AH. A review of masticatory ability and efficiency. J Prosthet Dent. 1995;74(4):400–3.
- 59. Takagi D, Watanabe Y, Edahiro A, Ohara Y, Murakami M, Murakami K, Hironaka S, Taniguchi Y, Kitamura A, Shinkai S, et al. Factors affecting masticatory function of community-dwelling older people: Investigation of the differences in the relevant factors for subjective and objective assessment. Gerodontology. 2017;34(3):357–64.
- Mori K, Manda Y, Kitagawa K, Nagatsuka H, Furutera H, Kodama N, Minagi S. Coordination of surface electromyography activity in the posterior tongue region during mastication of differently textured foods. J Oral Rehabil. 2021;48(4):403–10.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

