1)

```
가
                                                   가
                   5 (1 ; [Na +] 140mEq/L, 2

[Na +] , 3 ; 1 [Na +]

2
         가
  ; [Na +]
; [Na +] [Na +]
[Na +] 20mEq/L , 2
                            [Na +] 10mEq/L , 1
[Na +] 20mEa/L
 [Na +] , 4 ; [Na +]
                                         [Na +] 20mEq/L
                                        , 5 ; [Na +]
                            [Na +]
         [Na +]
                10mEq/L
                           )
                 Crit-Line IIR(In-Line Diagnostics, Corp., UT, U.S.A)
                   , , , 가
1) 9 ( 3 , 6 ) 54.1 \pm 10.6 , 19 \pm 7.4
            가 6
2) Crit-Line IIR
(-5.2 \pm 2.6\% \text{ vs } -6.6 \pm 3.8\%, P=0.018) 2 (-8.6 \pm 4.1\% \text{ vs } -10.7 \pm 4.4\%, P=0.009)
                                   5가
                                                         가
(-21.1% vs -18%)
3) 1
              13%, 2
                          46% 가
                                          3, 4, 5
                          3,4
                12, 36
                                          1
4) 4, 5
               가 .
                                               4, 5
    가가
```

T el: 0331)219- 5130, Fax: 0331)219- 5109

- 436 -

가 가 ,

가 .

, , 7t 6 1-3). (3,4) アt アt, 1 9

4), . 1)

가 ,

1 ([Na +] 140mEq/L, 4 ), 2 ([Na +] [Na +] , 4 ), 3 ( 1 [Na +]

 $[Na +] \qquad 20mEq/L \qquad , \qquad 2 \\ [Na +] \qquad 10mEq/L \qquad , \qquad 1$ 

. [Na +] ), 4 ([Na +] , [Na +] 20mEq/L

[Na +] ), 5

([Na +] 7 + [Na +] 10mEq/L Crit- , 4 ) (Fig. 1)

Line IIR(In Line Diagnostics Corp., UT, U.S.A) 3 (1 -2 -3

-4 -5 ) 5 5-8). Crit-Line IIR .

5-8). Crit-Line IIR 가 ( , 가

: 135- 140mEq/L)

9). 250-300ml/ . sodium modelling

Drake Willock system 1000 가 기 (Althin Medical, Inc., U.S.A) ,

, 7 Althin MCATM 130(modified cellulose ace7 tate, 1.3m2)

Table 1. Characteristics of the Patients

Patients Number	n=9
Age(yrs)	54.1 ± 10.6
Sex(M:F)	3:6
DM(n)	6
Hypertension*(n)	8
Hb(g/dl)	$9.3 \pm 1.1$
Hemodialysis duration(months)	19 $\pm 7.4$

<sup>\*</sup>systolic BP 140mmHg or diastolic BP 90mmHg

Fig. 1. Graphic presentation of the five dialysis profiles: type 1 dialysis; dialysate sodium of 140mEq/L, type 2 dialysis; dialysate sodium same as the predialysis serum sodium(A), type 3 dialysis; dialysate sodium was 20mEq/L greater than A for 1hr, then 10mEq/L greater than A for 2hrs and then same as A for the last 1hr, type 4 dialysis; at the beginning of dialysis, dialysate sodium was ramped to 20mEq/L above A and then on a linear fashion lowered to the A at the end of dialysis, type 5 dialysis; dialysate sodium was constantly ramped to 10mEq/L above A.

3) 90mmHg (Trendelenberg ) 30 가 , 12 , 36 (1-5, 1:, 2: ) 3: , 4: , 5: 3. SPSS , 5가 가 MANOVA-repeated measure, chi-square test,

±

P

Fig. 2. Instantaneous blood volume changes during two different modes of hemodialysis in one patient. The A modality has less blood volume change compared to B modality assuming same ultrafiltrate amount. \*A or B modality is one of 5 different dialysis.

Crit-Line IIR

2)

2.

Friedman test

20 . 4

Crit- line IIR

Crit-Line IIR (Fig. 2)

1, 2 2 가 1

8 : 1 2 5가 1 5가 (Fig. 3). 가 (Fig. 4). 4, 5 3, 4 (Fig. 5), 1 13%, 2 46%, 3 :110mmHg, 2 (1 가 0% : 109mmHg, 3 : 109mmHg, 4

Fig. 3. Changes in blood volume (%) with the five different profiles of dialysate sodium concentration.

Fig. 4. Final blood volume changes & the percentage of hypotensive episodes according to the five different profiles of dialysate sodium concentration. Final blood volume changes were not statistically different among the 5 modalities. There were no episodes of hypotension(P value<0.001) with protocols 3, 4, 5 compared to protocols 1 and 2.

mmHg, 5 가 : 113mmHg) (Table 2). (3.7kg, 3.1kg, 3.6kg, 3.9kg, 4.1kg) 4, 5 3. 12, 36 3 , 4 4, 5 5 1 5가 4, 5 1 3 (Table 3). 4. 가 가 1, 2, 7) 4, 5 가 5 가 (Table. 4).

Fig. 5. Mean blood pressure during the five different sodium profiles. \*P<0.05, vs Type 1 dialysis

1997

Table 2. Post-hemodialysis Serum Sodium Concentration, Interdialytic Weight gain & Pre-hemodialysis Mean Blood Pressure in Next Hemodialysis Session according to the 5 Different Profiles of Dialysate Sodium Concentration(n=9)

	Type 1 dialysis	Type 2 dialysis	Type 3 dialysis	Type 4 dialysis	Type 5 dialysis
Post-hemodialysis serum[Na +](mEq/L)	141.4 ± 2.4	136.9 ± 3.7	139.3 ± 3.3	143.6 ± 4.2*	144.3 ± 4.2*
Interdialytic weight gain(kg)	$3.7 \pm 1.3$	$3.1 \pm 1.4$	$3.6 \pm 1.5$	$3.9 \pm 1.5$	$4.1 \pm 1.5$
Next predialysis mean BP(mmHg)	$110.5 \pm 14.8$	$109.8 \pm 13.9$	$109.2 \pm 14.6$	$112.7 \pm 12.7$	$113.2 \pm 11.1$

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05, vs Type 1 dialysis

Table 3. Subjective Score of Fatigue & Thirst Which Occurred after the 5 Different Profiles of Dialysate Sodium Concentration

	Type 1 dialysis	Type 2 dialysis	Type 3 dialysis	Type 4 dialysis	Type 5 dialysis
Fatigue at postHD 0hr	1.6	2.0	1.4	1.7	1.9
Fatigue at postHD 12hrs	2.0	2.0	1.6*	1.8*	2.1
Fatigue at postHD 36hrs	2.0	1.7	1.6*	1.7	2.1
Thirst at postHD 0hr	1.6	1.3	2.0	2.6*	2.9*
Thirst at postHD 12hrs	1.6	1.5	2.1	2.8*	2.9*
Thirst at postHD 36hrs	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.2*	2.7*

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05, vs Type 1 dialysis

Table 4.	Individual Score of Thirst & Interdia-
	lytic Weight Gain after the 5 Different
	Hemodialysis Sessions

	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 6	Patient 7
Thirst at	1<5	1<4	-	1<3
postHD 0hr	3<5	1<5		1<4
Thirst at	-	-	-	1<4
postHD 12hrs				1<5
Thirst at	-	1<5	-	-
postHD 36hrs		3<5		
Interdialytic	-	-	1<5	-
weight gain			3<5	

-: non- significant

7† 17,935 54% 10). , 10-50%

, ,

가

, 가 11).

가 가 60 24% , 가 30.8%

. 가

가

13). 가

. 가

, , , 가 .

, 14, 15).

가 가

, , , , ,

16, 17).

가

가

20)

135-140mEq/L

Crit-Line IIR

가 19)

. 가

,

가가 :

1

. 가 mEq/L

가 , 가,

21, 22).

135- 140

1)

12)

18 4, 5 가 가 가가 21, 22) 3가 가 가 가 ) 가 23, 24). 3가 가 가 가 135-140mEq/L25) 가 가 26, 27) 가 3가 3 1 Na + 4 가 가 4,5

57 1999 — 4, 5 3 4, 5 가 4

= Abstract =

## Blood Volume Change and Side Effects during Various Sodium Ramping in Hemodialvsis

Kyoung Ai Ma, M.D., Heung Soo Kim, M.D. Meyong Seung Kim, M.D., Seung Jung Kim, M.D. Cheol Gweon Jeong, M.D., Hun Jong Kim, M.D. Kwang Hyun Ko, M.D., Sang Don Kim, M.D. Seog Bae Ji, M.D., Gyu Tae Shin, M.D. and Do Hun Kim, M.D.

Department of Nephrology, School of Medicine, Ajou University, Suwon, Korea

Chronic hemodialysis patients frequently experience hemodialysis(HD)-related side effects caused by excessive ultrafiltration and abrupt change of osmolality. Sodium ramping in HD is known to reduce ultrafiltration-related side effects, but it frequently induces symptoms related to sodium overload. We wanted to know the relationship between blood volume changes and the side effects related to ultrafiltration during hemodialysis and whether we can individualize various sodium ramping methods according to the effect of change in blood volume(V

가

1

<del>-</del> 8 :

BV) and side effects of sodium ramping. We studied 9 hypotension-prone patients during HD. The duration of the study lasted for 5 weeks, each week using different sodium ramping protocols: protocol 1; dialysate [Na+] of 140mEq/L, protocol 2; dialysate [Na +] same as the predialysis serum [Na +], protocol 3; dialysate [Na+] was 20mEq/L greater than that of the patient's serum for 1hr, 10mEq/L greater than patient's serum [Na+] for 2hr and then the same as patient's serum [Na +] for the last 1hr, protocol 4; at the beginning of dialysis, dialysate sodium was ramped to 20mEq/L above the patient's serum sodium and then on a straight linear fashion lowered to the predialysis serum [Na +] at the end of dialysis, protocol 5; sodium was constantly ramped to 10 mEq/L above serum [Na +]. We measured the  $\nabla BV$ with Crit-Line IIR(In-Line Diagnostics, Corp., Riverdale, USA), the blood pressure during each HD and interdialytic weight gain. We documented subjective symptoms which occurred during the 5 treatment protocols by patient's questionnaire after each HD. The results were as follows.

- 1) The mean age of the patients (M:F=3:6) was 54.1 years and 6 patients were diabetics.
- 2) There was no significant difference in the  $\nabla BV$  among the 5 protocols in both whole study population and individual. Neither was there a statistically significant difference in the  $\nabla BV$  with respect to hypotension during HD.
- 3) There were no episodes of hypotension(P value < 0.001) with protocols 3, 4, 5 compared to protocols 1 and 2.
- 4) Three patients during protocols 4 and 5 experienced more thirst after HD than during protocol 1 and one patient during protocol 4, 5 had more interdialytic weight gain than the protocol 1. As a whole, patients while on protocol 4 & 5 experienced more thirst than protocol 1 but patients during protocol 3 experienced the same degree of thirst as protocol 1.

In summary, sodium ramping reduced HD-related side effects but this benefit could not be explained on the basis of blood volume change measured by the Crit-Line IIR. Protocol 3 may be more appropiate sodium ramping method in 4 of the 9 patients. These data suggest that protocol 3 may be used before protocol 4, 5 when we apply sodium ramping to the patients who frequently have hypotension during HD.

**Key Words:** Blood volume change, Sodium ramping, Crit-line, Side effects

- Petitclerc T, Jacobs C: Dialysis sodium concentration: what is optimal and can it be individualized? Nephrol Dial Transplant 10:596-599, 1995
- Daugirdas JT: Preventing and managing hypotension. Semin Dial 7:276-283, 1994
- Port FK, Johnson WJ, Klass DW: Prevention of dialysis disequilibrium syndrome by use of high sodium concentration in the dialysate. Kidney Int 3:327-333, 1973
- Bonomini V, Coli L, Feliciangeli G, Scolari MP: Biotechnology in profiled dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 11:63-67, 1996
- 5) Steuer RR, Leypoldt JK, Cheung AK, Senekjian HO, Conis JM: Reducing symptoms during hemodialysis by continuously monitoring the hematocrit. Am J Kidney Dis 27:525-532, 1996
- 6) Steuer RR, Leypoldt JK, Cheung AK, Harris DH, Conis JM: Hematocrit as an indicator of blood volume and a predictor of intradialytic morbid events. ASAIO J 40:M691-696, 1994
- 7) Steuer RR, Harris DH, Conis JM: Instantaneous changes in circulating blood volume due to various physiological maneuvers. *Dial Transplant* 23: 643-647, 1994
- 8) Steuer RR, Harris DH, Conis JM: A new optical technique for monitoring hematocrit and circulating blood volume: Its application in renal dialysis. *Dial Transplant* 22:260-265, 1993
- 9) Movilli E, Camerini C, Viola BF, Bossini N, Strada A, Maiorca R: Blood volume changes during three different profiles of dialysate sodium variation with similar intradialytic sodium balances in chronic hemodialyzed patients. Am J Kidney Dis 30:58-63, 1997
- 11) Ronco C, Fabris A, Feriani M, Hemodialysis fluid composition. In: Jacobs C, Kjellstrand CM, Koch KM, Winchester JF.ed. Replacement of renal function by dialysis. 4th ed. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 1995, pp 257-260
- Lee WH: Symptomatic hypotension during hemodialysis. Kidney Int 17:571-576, 1980
- 13) Bonomini V, Coli L, Stefoni S: Profiling approach: a new approach to dialysis intolerance. Nephron 75:1-6, 1997
- 14) Kouw PM, Olthof CG, Gruteke P, de Vries PMJM, Meijer JH, Oe PL, Schneider H, Donker

- AJM: Influence of high and low sodium dialysis on blood volume preservation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **6:8**76-880, 1991
- 15) de Vries PMJM, Olthof CG, Solf A, Schuenemann B, Oe PL, Duellhorst E, Schneider H, Donker AJM: Fluid balance during hemodialysis and hemofiltration: The effect of dialysate sodium and a variable ultrafiltration rate. Nephrol Dial Transplant 6:257-263, 1991
- 16) Ursino M, Coli L, Manna GL, Cicilioni MG, Dalmastri V, Giudicissi A, Masitti P, Avanzolini G, Stefoni S, Bonomini V: A simple mathematical model of intradialytic sodium kinetics: "in vivo" validation during hemodialysis with constant or variable sodium. Int J Artif Organs 19:393-403, 1996
- 17) Bijaphala S, Bell AJ, Bennett CA, Evans SM, Dawborn JK: Comparison of high and low sodium bicarbonate and acetate dialysis in stable chronic hemodialysis patients. Clin Nephrol 23: 179-183, 1985
- 18) Daugirdas JT, Al-Kudsi RR, Ing TS, Norusis MJ: A double-blind evaluation of sodium gradient hemodialysis. Am J Nephrol 5:163-168, 1985
- 19) Levin A, Goldstein MB: The benefits and side effects of ramped hypertonic sodium dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol 7:242-246, 1996
- 20) van Kuijk WHM, Wirts JJJM, Grave W, de Heer

- F, Menheere PPCA, van Hooff JP, Leunissen KML: Vascualr reactivity during combined ultrafiltration-hemodialysis influence of dialysate sodium. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **11:**323-328, 1996
- 21) Flanigan MJ, Khairullah QT, Lim VS: Dialysate sodium delivery can alter chronic blood pressure management. Am J Kidney Dis 29:383-391, 1997
- 23) Kimura G, Stone JCV, Bauer JH: The amount of sodium removed by hemodialysis. Am J Kidney Dis 8:253-256, 1986
- 24) Petitclerc T, Hamani A, Jacobs C: Optimization of sodium balance during hemodialysis by routine implentation of kinetic modeling. *Blood Purif* 10:309-316, 1992
- 25) Raja R, Kramer M, Barber K, Chen S: Sequential changes in dialysate sodium during hemodialysis. *Trans ASAIO* 29:649-651, 1983
- 26) Petitclerc T, Jacobs C: Prevention of intradialytic morbidity by optimization of dialysate profile. Nephrol Dial Transplant 10:135-140, 1995
- 27) Henrich WL, Woodard TD, Mcphaul JJ: The chronic efficacy and safety of high sodium dialysate: Double blind crossover study. Am J Kidney Dis 2:349-353, 1982