남자 중학생들이 보고하는 부자관계에 영향을 주는 요소에 관한 연구

A STUDY OF MIDDLE SCHOOL BOY'S REPORT ON THE FACTORS THAT AFFECT THEIR RELATIONSHIPS WITH FATHERS

김현수*[†] · 조선미^{*} · 노경선^{**} · 이호영^{*}

Hyun-Soo Kim, M.D.,* † Sun-Mi Cho, Ph.D.,* Kyung-Sun Noh, M.D.,** Ho-Young Lee, M.D.*

. 서 론 가

Kraemer (fatherhood) 4). フト 3% フト

2). Bowlby 7t 5). . Lamb

Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Ajou University, Suwon SungKyunkwan University College of Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,

Seoul [†]Corresponding author

	,	⁶⁾ . Pe	rderson		가				
가	가	7)	. 가		, 가 가 가	,	, 가	가	
		가 .	. Parsons	Bales	12)	,	13),		
가								가	
		. ,	가						
	-	가		. ,				가	
	,	9) .			,	, ,	,	,	
1975		Lamb			• ,	,			
⁶⁾ , 1990		Phares			,	, ,			
	가	10).				연구대상	및 방법		
	21	1/5			1. 연구대상			3	
,		가 . Phares	1984 577 1%	1991	7\ (SD = 0.49)			, 197 15.6 1	
가	,	9).				•	1999	4	
1992		¹¹⁾ .	1959 가	5	2. 연구방법 1) 조사도구				
			· , 가						

- 114 -

1. 인구통계학적 반인의 분포 가 Table 1 2. OHH지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적으의 의 상관 및 1. 1. 1. 인구통계학적 반인의 분포 가 Table 1 2. OHH지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적으의 의 상관 및 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	, , , ,	Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of fathers					
3 (1.6.4) 91 (1.7.1 kg) 100 (1.7.1	,	Father Age	below 40	31(15.7)	15.7		
3	. 5		41 - 45	96(48.8)	64.5		
Beck Depression Inventory(BDI) ¹⁴⁾ , Rosenberg self - esteem scale ¹⁵⁾ , Child attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory ¹⁷⁾ , Multi - item measure of romantic attachment ¹⁸⁾ Bem sex role inventory Bem sex role inventory Bem sex role inventory Parity of the self of the Poccupation of the self of the self of the Poccupation of the Poccupation of the self of the Poccupation of the	,		46 - 50	53(26.4)	91.4		
Beck Depression Inventory(BDI) ¹⁴⁾ , Rosenberg self - esteem scale ¹⁵⁾ , Child attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory ¹⁷⁾ , Multi - item measure of romantic attachment ¹⁸⁾ BDI Bem sex role inventory 18) Part Scheffe Father Education primary school 14(7.1) 18 high school 109(55.1) 44 college above 63(31.8) 95 no response 8(4.0) 100 college above 63(31.8) 95 no response 8(4.0) 100 college above 63(31.8) 95 no response 8(4.0) 100 college above 63(31.8) 95 no response 153(26.8) 43 college above 63(31.8) 95 no response 105(26.8) 43 college above 63(31.8) 43 college above 63(31.8) 43 college above 6			50 above	17(8.1)	100.0		
Beck Depression Inventory(BDI) ¹⁴⁾ , Rosenberg self - esteem scale ¹⁵⁾ Child attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory ¹⁷⁾ , Multi - item measure of romantic attachment ¹⁸⁾ BDI Bem sex role inventory 19) Former 19 Bem sex role inventory 19) Former 19 Former 20 19) Former 30 Former 20 Forme	3	Father Education	primary school	3(1.5)	1.5		
Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) 14), Rosenberg self - esteem scale 15), Child attitude toward father 16), Bem sex role inventory (Poly 16), Multi - item measure of romantic attachment 18) BDI Bem sex role inventory 19) Bem sex role inventory 10) Economic Status upper 11 (5.6) 5 upper-middle 82(41.4) 74 middle-lower 36(18.2) 92 upper-middle 82(41.4) 74 middle-lower 36(18.2) 93 upper-middle 100 5 upper 100 5.1) 100 upper 100 5 upper 1	·		middle school	14(7.1)	8.6		
Beck Depression no response 8(4.0) 100	, , ,		high school	109(55.1)	64.0		
Inventory(BDI) ¹⁴⁾ , Rosenberg self - esteem scale ¹⁵⁾ , Child attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory ¹⁷⁾ , Multi - item measure of romantic attachment ¹⁸⁾ BDI Bem sex role inventory 19) Pem sex role inventory 19) Formal attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory 19) Pem sex role inventory 19) Formal attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory 19) Pem sex role inventory 19) Formal attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory 19) Pem sex role inventory 19) Formal attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory 19) Pem sex role inventory 19) Formal attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory 19) Pem sex role inventory 19) Formal attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory 100 choice of a commercial c	•		college above	63(31.8)	95.9		
ale ¹⁵⁾ , Child attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role inventory ¹⁷⁾ , Multi-item measure of romantic attachment ¹⁸⁾ BDI Bem sex role inventory 19) 19) 10) 10) 10) 11) 12) 12) 분석방법 12) 13) 14) 15) 15) 16) 16) 17) 17) 18) 18) 18) 19) 19) 10) 10) 11) 12) 13) 14) 15) 15) 16) 16) 17) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18) 18	Beck Depression		no response	8(4.0)	100.0		
inventory 17, Multi - item measure of romantic attach - ment 18) BDI Bem sex role inventory 19) Economic Status Upper 11(5.6) 5 upper-middle 82(41.4) 74 middle-lower 36(18.2) 92 low 4(2.0) 94 no response 10(5.1) 100 Table 1 2. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과의 의 상관 가 Table 1 3. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과의 의 상관	Inventory(BDI) ¹⁴⁾ , Rosenberg self - esteem sc -	Father Occupation	white color	71 (35.9)	36.2		
### BDI Bem sex role inventory 19 10 6 6 10 6 10 10 10	ale ¹⁵⁾ , Child attitude toward father ¹⁶⁾ , Bem sex role		commercial	53(26.8)	63.0		
Ment ¹⁸⁾ BDI Bem sex role inventory Bem sex role inventory 19) 19) 19) F Scheffe 1. 인구통계확적 변인의 분포 가 Table 1 2. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과의 의 상관 가 Scheffe 기계 등 기계	inventory ¹⁷⁾ , Multi - item measure of romantic attach -		professional	4(2.0)	65.0		
Bem sex role inventory 19) Bem sex role inventory 19) Economic Status upper 11(5.6) 5 10 upper-middle 54(27.3) 33 middle 82(41.4) 74 middle-lower 36(18.2) 92 low 4(2.0) 94 no response 10(5.1) 100 **** ****************************			blue color	25(12.6)	77.6		
19)			driver		84.2		
Economic Status Upper 11(5.6) 5 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	-		unemployment	12(6.1)	90.3		
2) 분석방법	,		others	20(10.1)	100.0		
지		Economic Status	upper	11(5.6)	5.6		
F Scheffe 3. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과 의 상관 Table 3 7 가 Table 1	2) 부서바버		upper-middle	54(27.3)	33.0		
F Scheffe 3. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과 의 상관 Table 3 가 Table 1 7. 다시에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과 의 상관 Table 3 가 지하는 3. 아버지에 대한 대도와 자아존중감 및 생활적 등과 의 상관 Table 3 가 지하는 3. 아버지에 대한 대도와 자아존중감 및 생활적 등과 의 상관 Table 3 가 지하는 3. 아버지에 대한 대도와 자아존중감 및 생활적 등과 의 상관 Table 3	2/ E-10H			, ,	74.6		
F Scheffe 3. 아버지에 대한 태도와 정역할 발달과의 관계 기업을 가 기업을			middle-lower		92.9		
F Scheffe 3. 아버지에 대한 태도와 성역할 발달과의 관계 기가			low		94.9		
3. 아버지에 대한 태도와 정역할 말달과의 관계 2 고 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기	•		no response	10(5.1)	100.0		
3. 아버지에 대한 태도와 정역할 말달과의 관계 2 고 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기 기			,	,	,		
1. 인구통계학적 변인의 분포 가 Table 1 2. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과 의 상관 Table 3 가 지하는 기가 있었다면 기가 있다면 기가 있었다면 기가 있었다면 기가 있었다면 기가 있었다면 기가 있다면 기가 있었다면 기다면 기다면 기다면 기다면 기다면 기다면 기다면 기다면 기다면 기	F , Scheffe .	3. 아버지에 대한	· 태도와 성역할 발 ,	달과의 관계	I		
기 Table 1 . 91.5 , 91.5 , 91.5 , 2. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과 의 상관 Table 3	결 과	,	가				
91.5 , 2. 아버지에 대한 태도와 자아존중감 및 생활적응과 의 상관 Table 3 . 가 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Ţ	,			
의 상관 Table 3 . 가 , , , F 가 , Scheffe	Table 1 .	91.	.5 ,		93		
, , Scheffe							
. Table 2				가			
. Table 4	. Table 2	. Table 4	4 .				

Table 2. Relations of the attitudes toward fathers and other factors

	Satisfaction toward fathers	Attachment	Self-esteem	Depression	Accademic accomplishment	Satisfaction in school life
Satisfaction toward fathers	1.00	.64**	.41**	11	.11	.23**
Attachment	.64**	1.00	.38**	23**	.22**	.12
Self-esteem	.41**	.38**	1.00	08	.07	.30**
Depression	11	23**	08	1.00	- 0.05	06
Accademic accomplishment	.11	.22**	.07	05	1.00	.21**
Satisfaction in school life	.23**	.11	.30**	61	.21**	1.00

^{*}p<.05 **p<.01

Table 3. The distribution of sexual role development

	Frequency	Masculinity	Feminity
Bisexual group	83	121.34(22.76)	121.71(28.54)
Masculinity group	15	105.20(15.14)	80.93(17.26)
Feminity Group	16	80.50(5.95)	102.87(7.74)
Undifferentia- ted group	84	74.22(12.53)	74.42(12.36)

Table 4. Relations on attitudes toward fathers and sexual role development

	Satisfa-	Signifi-	Attach-	Signifi-		
	ction	cance	ment	cance		
Bisexual	118.29	.005**	145.57	.014*		
(n = 83)	(23.12)	.005	(32.24)	.014		
Masculinity	117.40	1024	133.0	1234		
(n = 15)	(28.61)	<u>123</u> 4	(39.90)	1234		
Feminity	118.63		144.06			
(n = 16)	(19.83)		(26.69)			
Undifferentiate	104.76	128.83				
(n = 84)	(28.71)		(36.46)			

*p<.05 **p<.01

30%
30%

2 .008 7h
7h
.
(Table 5).

4. 아버지에 대한 태도와 양육태도에 대한 만족도간 의 관계

Table 6

고 찰

20)

21)

가

가 . , , , , , 가

. 가 가 TV

가 .

가 ,

, 가 () 가 .

 Table 5. Comparison of satisfaction toward fathers and group categorization by sex-differentiation

	Group categorization by sex-differentiation					
		Bisexual	Masculinity	Feminity	Undifferentiate	Total
	upper group	32	4	8	16	60
Satisfaction	lower group	20	7	13	37	65
		52	7	13	55	125

Table 6. Relations on caregiving & overprotection and other factors

	Caregiving	Overprotection	Satisfaction	Attachment	Self-esteem	Depression
Caregiving	1.00	.46**	.15*	.04	.07	.27**
Overprotection	46**	1.00	39**	33**	23**	.38**
Satisfaction	.15*	39**	1.00	.64**	.46**	11
Attachment	.04	33**	.64**	1.00	.38**	23**
Self-esteem	.07	23**	.41**	.38**	1.00	08
Depression	.27**	.38**	11	23**	08	1.00

*p<.05 **p<.01

(instrumental) 가 가 가 27) 가 가 28) 가 가 가 가 가 22) 29) 가 가 가 . Hoffman 30) 가 가 가 가 31) Blos 32) 23). (Masculinity) 가 (overpro-24). tection) 33)34) ²⁶⁾. Parsons

가 35)

36 - 38)

39)

가 가 ⁴⁰⁾⁴¹⁾

가

가

References

- 1) **Kraemer S** (1991) : The Origins of Fatherhood : An ancient family process. Fam Process 30 : 377-392
- Bischof N (1985) : Das Ratsel Oedipus. Die biologishen Wurzeln des Urkonfliktes von Autonomie, Munich, Piper
- Bowlby J (1969) : Attachment & Loss Vol 1 : Attachment, London, Hogarth
- 4) **Berman PW, Perderson FA** (1987): "Research on Men's transitions to parenthood: An integrative discussion" in P.W. Berman & F.A. Perderson Ed, Men's transitions to parenthood: Longitudinal studies of early family development, Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum
- Fine R.A (1976) : Men's entrance to parenthood. Fam Coordinator 25 : 341-348
- 6) Lamb ME (1975): "Fathers: Forgotten contributors

- to child development". Hum Dev 18: 245-266
- Perderson FA (1980): "Research issues related to fathers & infants" in F.A. Perderson (ed.) The fatherinfant relationship, New York, Prager
- 8) **Parsons T, Bales RF**(1955): Family, socialization and interaction process, Glencoe, IL, Free Press
- 9) **Phares V, Compas BE** (1992): The role of fathers in child and adolescent psychopathology: Make room for daddy. Psychol Bull 111: 387-412
- 10) Phares V (1992): "Where's Pappa?": The relative lack of attention to the role of fathers in child and adolescent psychopathology. Am Psychol 47: 656-664
- 11) 대한신경정신의학회(1992) : 논문색인집 제2편.진수출판사
- 12) **Glick PC, Norton AJ** (1977): Marrying, divorcing and living together in the U.S. today. Population Bull 32:5-7
- 13) **Sheehy G** (1979): Introducing the postponing generation. Esquire 92(4): 25-33
- 14) Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J (1961): An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 4: 53-63
- 15) Rosenberg M (1979) : Conceiving the Self, New York, Basic Books
- 16) Hudson WW (1992): The WALMYR Assessment Scales scoring Manual. Tempe, AZ: WALMYR Publishing co.
- 17) Bem S (1974) : The measurament of psychological androgyny. Journal of Consult Clinical Psychol 42: 155-162
- 18) Brennan KA, Clark CL, SHaver PR (1996): Development of a new multi-item measure of adult romantic attachment: A preliminary report. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Society for the Study of Personal Relatinships, Banff, Alberta
- 19) 정진경(1990): 한국 성역할 검사(KSRI). 한국심 리학회지, 사회, pp82-91
- 20) 변화순, 서명선, 김홍숙(1995) : 부성 계발에 관한 연구, 한국여성개발원, pp43-55
- 21) **Belsky J, Steinberg LD** (1979): The effects of day care: Critical review. Child Dev 49: 929-949
- 22) **Maccoby EE, Jacklin CN**(1973): Stress, activity & proximity seeking: sex differences in the year-old child. Child Dev 44: 34-42
- Deutsch H (1944): The psychology of women. Vol.New York, Grune & Stratton
- 24) Bandura A, Walters RH (1959) : Adolescent aggre-

- ssion: A study of the influence of child rearing practices and family interrelationships. New York, Ronald
- 25) **Reis M, Gold D** (1977): Relation of paternal availability to problem solving & sex-role orientation in young boys. Psychol Rep 40: 823-849
- 26) Norman RD (1966): The interpersonal values of Parents of achieving & nonachieving gifted children. J Psychol 64: 49-57
- 27) Peters DL, Stewart RB (1979): Father-child interactions in a shopping mall: A naturalistic study of father role behavior. Paper presented to the society of Research in child development, San Francisco
- 28) **Jordan BE, Radin N, Epstein A** (1975): Partenal behavior and intellectual functioning in preschool boys & girls. Dev Psychol 11: 407-408
- 29) Herzog E, Sudia C (1973) : Children in fatherless families. In : Review of child development research Vol 3, Ed by B.M. Caldwell & H.N. Ricciuti, Chicago, University of Chicago Press
- 30) Hoffman ML (1970) : Moral Development. In : Carmichael's manual of child psychology. Ed by P.H. Mussen New York, Wiley
- 31) **Andry RG** (1957): Faulty paternal & maternal-child relationships, affection and delinquency. Br J Delinquency 8: 34-48
- 32) Blos P(1967): The second individuation process of

- adolescence. Psychoanalytic Study Child 22: 162-186
- 33) 최경민, 한성희(1998) : 품행장애 청소년에서의 우울, 불안 증상과 부모양육특성. 소아청소년정신의 학 8(1) : 83-91
- 34) Martin G, Waite S (1994): Parental bonding and vulnerability to adolescent suicide. Acta Psychiatr Scand 89: 246-254
- 35) **Larson H, Richards MH** (1991): Daily companionship in late childhood and early adolescence. Child Dev 62: 284-300
- 36) Seginer R (1985) : Family learning environment : the subjective view of adolescent males & faemales. J Youth Adol 14: 121-131
- 37) Gecas V, Schwalbe ML (1986) : Parental behavior and adolescent self-esteem. J Marriage Family 48: 37-46
- 38) **Block JH** (1973): Conceptions of sex role: some cross-cultural and longitudinal perspectives. Am Psychol 2: 512-526
- 39) **Shulman S, Inge Seiffge-Krenke** (1997): Fathers and Adolescents, London, Routledge, 54-69
- 40) Ross JM (1982) : Oedipus revisited : Laius and Laius complex. Psychoanalytic Study Child 37 : 169-200
- 41) Ross JM (1985): The darker side of fatherhood: clinical & developmental ramifications of the 'Laius Motif'. Psychoanalytic Study Child 40: 117-144

A STUDY OF MIDDLE SCHOOL BOY'S REPORT ON THE FACTORS THAT AFFECT THEIR RELATIONSHIPS WITH FATHERS

Hyun-Soo Kim, M.D., Sun-Mi Cho, Ph.D., Kyung-Sun Noh, M.D., Ho-Young Lee, M.D.

Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Ajou University, Suwon

Our aims is a exploration for relationship between father and male-adolescence. For this purpose, we have employed several self-reported questionnaires, including BDI, Rosenberg self-esteem scale, Attitude for father etc.

Our hypothesis is that the perception, the attachment, age and alcohol drinking, accademic career of father influences male-adolescent's self-esteem, mood, sex-role, academic accomplishment, satisfying of school life.

We have surveyed questionnaire at one middle school, at Suwon city. We have get 196 samples. We have categorized subjective answers and analyzed relations. The result is that a positive relation is self-esteem, sex-role, and attachment, a neagtive relation is mood and no relation is age, academic career, alcohol drinking. Also, father's overprotection attribute on negative influences.

We have many limitations. First limitation is a small number. Second is few previous study. Third is a relative analysis, not cause-effect analysis.

KEY WORDS: Father · Male middle school boys · Father-son relationship.