

New Sensitization to House Dust Mites in Cefteram–Induced Occupational Asthma: A Case Report

Hyun Jung Jin, Jeong-Eun Kim, Joo-Hee Kim, Young-Min Ye, Hae-Sim Park*

Department of Allergy and Rheumatology, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Korea

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Occupational asthma (OA) can improve after cessation of exposure; however, some patients suffer from persistence or aggravation of their asthmatic symptoms. Here we report a case of a new sensitization to house dust mites during the follow-up period in a 37-year-old female patient with OA induced by cefteram pivoxil powder (cefteram powder). She was previously diagnosed with OA caused by inhalation of cefteram powder. Consequently, she left her job and had been well for 9 subsequent years. She began to experience aggravation of her rhinitis and asthmatic symptoms again several months prior to presentation. Her skin-prick test results had converted to strongly positive responses to two types of house dust mites. The serum levels of eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) and the total and specific immunoglobulin (Ig)E levels against the two types of house dust mites were elevated. An inhalation challenge test with *Dermatophagoides farinae* was performed, and significant bronchoconstriction (21.1% reduction in the forced expiratory volume in the first second) with asthma symptoms was observed at 10 minutes. To our knowledge, this is the first case demonstrating a new sensitization to house dust mites in a patient with OA caused by cefteram powder. Regular monitoring, including skin-prick tests and measurement of specific serum IgE/ECP levels, may help to screen potential cases.

Key Words: Asthma; cefteram; Dermatophagoides farinae; occupation

INTRODUCTION

Occupational asthma (OA) can improve after cessation of exposure; however, some patients suffer from persistence or aggravation of their asthmatic symptoms even when they have been removed from the workplace.¹ In patients with toluene diisocyanate (TDI)-induced asthma, which is the most prevalent cause of OA in Korea,² the development of a new sensitization to common inhalant allergens was found to be associated with chron-ic persistent asthmatic symptoms.^{3,4} Several reports have examined OA due to antibiotics^{5,6}; however, few have described the long-term prognosis of OA induced by antibiotics. Here we present a novel case of a new sensitization to house dust mites in a patient with OA caused by cefteram pivoxil powder.

CASE REPORT

The patient was a 37-year-old woman who had been diagnosed 9 years prior with OA caused by inhalation of cefteram powder.⁷ Her skin-prick test at her initial visit revealed negative responses to common inhalant allergens, except for a positive response to cefteram powder (10 mg/mL). She left her workplace and had been well for 9 subsequent years; her asthma was controlled with a medium dose of inhaled corticosteroid/ long-acting β -2 agonist and leukotriene receptor antagonist based on regular follow-up.

She experienced aggravation of her rhinitis and asthmatic symptoms again several months prior to presentation. At presentation, her blood differential count, serum biochemistry, and chest and paranasal sinus radiographs showed no abnormality, with normal lung function. However, her skin prick test results had converted to strongly positive responses to two types of house dust mites: *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (18× 14/50×44 mm) and *Dermatophagoides farinae* (18×9/46×46 mm). The total immunoglobulin (Ig)E level was elevated to 119 kU/L (normal: 0–114 kU/L), with high serum specific IgE levels to *D. pteronyssinus* and *D. farinae* of 4.35 and 7.63 kU/L, respec-

Tel: +82-31-219-5196; Fax: +82-31-219-5154; E-mail: hspark@ajou.ac.kr Received: July 20, 2010; Accepted: November 22, 2010

• There are no financial or other issues that might lead to conflict of interest.

Correspondence to: Hae-Sim Park, MD, PhD, Department of Allergy and Rheumatology, Ajou University School of Medicine, San-5 Woncheon-dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon 442-749, Korea.

Parameters			Year		
	2001	2003	2007	2008	2010
FEV1 (%)	107.35	107.2	100.9	97.1	93.9
Skin prick tests (mm/mm)					
D. pt	2×2/20×14	$1 \times 1/10 \times 10$	ND	2×2/2×2	18×14/50×44
D. f	$1 \times 1/6 \times 4$	(—)	ND	(—)	18×9/46×46
Cefteram	22×19/55×43	3×2/26×13	ND	ND	ND
Histamine	6×4/30×25	3×2/21×12	ND	3×2/13×10	3×3/30×16
Total IgE (kU/L)	ND	ND	96	73	119
Specific IgE (kU/L)					
D. pt	ND	ND	< 0.35	< 0.35	4.35
D. f	ND	ND	< 0.35	< 0.35	7.63
ECP (µg/L)	ND	ND	4.97	10	23.2
TEC (/μL)	284.07	ND	25.8	35.7	377.3

Table. Changes in the spirometry results and clinical parameters

FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; *D. pt, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*, ND, not done; *D. f, Dermatophagoides farina*; Ig, immunoglobulin; ECP, eosinophil cationic protein; TEC, total eosinophil count.

tively (normal: <0.35), which had previously been undetectable. The eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) level was elevated to 23.2 μ g/L (normal: 0–13.50 μ g/L), with a normal peripheral total eosinophil count (377.3/ μ L), as shown in Table.

We speculated that she was newly sensitized to house dust mites, which aggravated her asthma symptoms. An inhalation challenge test with *D. farinae* was performed, and significant bronchoconstriction (21.1% reduction in the forced expiratory volume in the first second [FEV1]) with asthma symptoms was observed at 10 minutes, with no late asthmatic reaction.

DISCUSSION

Antibiotics are well known to induce OA in exposed workers.7-11 Few studies have described the outcome of OA caused by antibiotics, and no published report has demonstrated an OA case presenting a new sensitization to common inhalant allergens. Because of TDI-induced asthma caused by low-molecular-weight agents, >50% of patients with TDI-induced asthma have persistent asthmatic symptoms with long-standing airway inflammation, even with complete avoidance of exposure.^{12,13} Additionally, new sensitization to common inhalant allergens was found in 13 TDI-induced asthmatic patients (36%) during a >3-year follow-up period. Most of these patients exhibited persistent asthmatic symptoms, and the house dust mite was the most common sensitizing allergen.3 These findings suggest that the airway mucosa of these patients have persistent airway inflammation such as epithelial desquamation, which makes these patients vulnerable to new sensitization to commonly exposed inhalant allergens such as indoor allergens. Once sensitization to an occupational agent occurs, incident sensitization to common allergens can also arise.¹⁴ The patient in the present study displayed persistent asthmatic symptoms with airway inflammation, although she had left her workplace and had taken asthmatic medications. Her airway mucosa may also have been vulnerable to a new sensitization to common inhalant allergens because she had been exposed to the house dust mite, the most common indoor allergen in Korea, which may have induced worsening of airway inflammation. Further studies are needed to understand the mechanism using a large cohort study.

In a review of TDI-induced asthma,³ the mean onset time of new sensitization was 4.93 years, and the associated risk factor was long duration of asthmatic symptoms before the diagnosis. The prevalence of atopic status was higher in new sensitized group, but not significantly so. In the present study, the patient showed new sensitization to house dust mites after 9 years despite taking regular anti-asthmatic medications and completely avoiding the workplace. She was a non-smoker and non-atopic. She had lived in an ordinary house with a similar level of dust-mite exposure. Regular monitoring for almost 10 years was needed to detect her new sensitization to common allergens. We suggest that OA patients with persistence or aggravation of asthmatic symptoms during follow up should be evaluated for possible new sensitization to common inhalant allergens. Further studies are needed to clarify the risk factors for new sensitization in OA caused by antibiotics in a large cohort study.

To detect such occurrences, regular monitoring using skinprick tests and specific IgE levels may be helpful.^{3,12} The present study suggests that an elevated serum ECP level could be a useful marker for symptom aggravation arising from new sensitization. Numerous studies have shown that ECP is well correlated with the degree of airway inflammation in asthma and the severity of atopy.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Measuring the ECP level is simpler than using the skin-prick test or measuring the specific IgE level.

In conclusion, we report a case of a patient with OA induced by cefteram pivoxil powder who was newly sensitized to house dust mites. We suggest that OA patients with persistence or aggravation of asthmatic symptoms during follow up should be evaluated for possible new sensitization to common inhalant allergens.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) funded by the Korean government (MEST, 2009-0078646).

REFERENCES

- 1. Rachiotis G, Savani R, Brant A, MacNeill SJ, Newman Taylor A, Cullinan P. Outcome of occupational asthma after cessation of exposure: a systematic review. Thorax 2007;62:147-52.
- 2. Kang SK, Jee YK, Nahm DH, Min KU, Park JW, Park HS, Son CH, Cho SH, Choi I, Choi SW, Hong CS, Kim KS, Cho SH, Kim YY. A status of occupational asthma in Korea through the cases reported to the Occupational Asthma Surveillance Center. J Asthma Allergy Clin Immunol 2000;20:906-15.
- 3. Park HJ, Kim HA, Hur GY, Ye YM, Suh CH, Nahm DH, Park HS. Prevalence and clinical significance of new sensitization to inhalant allergens in toluene diisocyanate-induced asthmatic patients. Korean J Asthma Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;26:213-8.
- 4. Park HS. Newly sensitization to house dust mite in an isocyanateinduced asthmatic patient. Korean J Allergy 1995;15:85-9.
- Menon MP, Das AK. Tetracycline asthma--a case report. Clin Allergy 1977;7:285-90.
- 6. Stenton SC, Dennis JH, Hendrick DJ. Occupational asthma due to ceftazidime. Eur Respir J 1995;8:1421-3.

- Suh YJ, Lee YM, Chung JH, Song HJ, Jung JW, Lee EH, Suh CH, Nahm DH, Park HS. Occupational asthma and IgE sensitization induced by inhalation of cefteram pivoxil powder. J Asthma Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;22:614-9.
- 8. Ye YM, Kim HM, Suh CH, Nahm DH, Park HS. Three cases of occupational asthma induced by thiamphenicol: detection of serumspecific IgE. Allergy 2006;61:394-5.
- 9. Kammermeyer JK, Mathews KP. Hypersensitivity to phenylglycine acid chloride. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1973;52:73-84.
- Coutts II, Dally MB, Taylor AJ, Pickering CA, Horsfield N. Asthma in workers manufacturing cephalosporins. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1981;283:950.
- 11. Lagier F, Cartier A, Dolovich J, Malo JL. Occupational asthma in a pharmaceutical worker exposed to penicillamine. Thorax 1989;44: 157-8.
- Park HS, Nahm DH. Prognostic factors for toluene diisocyanate-induced occupational asthma after removal from exposure. Clin Exp Allergy 1997;27:1145-50.
- 13. Saetta M, Maestrelli P, Di Stefano A, De Marzo N, Milani GF, Pivirotto F, Mapp CE, Fabbri LM. Effect of cessation of exposure to toluene diisocyanate (TDI) on bronchial mucosa of subjects with TDIinduced asthma. Am Rev Respir Dis 1992;145:169-74.
- 14. Nguyen B, Ghezzo H, Malo JL, Gautrin D. Time course of onset of sensitization to common and occupational inhalants in apprentices. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111:807-12.
- Koh GC, Shek LP, Goh DY, Van Bever H, Koh DS. Eosinophil cationic protein: is it useful in asthma? A systematic review. Respir Med 2007;101:696-705.
- Rasmussen F, Lambrechtsen J, Siersted HC, Hansen HS, Hansen NC. Increased eosinophil cation protein level in sensitized nonasthmatics is linked to subsequent hyperresponsiveness to methacholine. The Odense Schoolchild Study. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2000;121:129-36.
- 17. Adamko DJ, Wu Y, Ajamian F, Ilarraza R, Moqbel R, Gleich GJ. The effect of cationic charge on release of eosinophil mediators. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;122:383-90.e4.